The Banking Union in the context of the EU crisis

Dominique PLIHON

Centre d'Economie de Paris Nord (CEPN)

Université Paris 13 Sorbonne Paris Cité

ASSA Conference, Philadelphia, January 5, 2014

Overview of the presentation

I/ A new piece in the European architecture

II/ The three pillars of the Banking Union

III/The strategic purpose of the Banking Union

IV/ Unresolved Issues

V/ Perspectives for the future of Europe

I/ A new piece in the European architecture to solve the eurozone crisis

- The eurozone crisis can be viewed as a « twin crisis », i.e. banking crisis & sovereign debt crisis
- => two new European pieces created in 2012 :
 - The Fiscal Stability treaty (Fiscal Compact) which aims at reducing fiscal deficits
 - The Banking Union which aims at :
 - strenghtening the regulation of European banks
 - eliminating the perverse links between sovereign debt crisis and banking crisis

The twin crisis in the eurozone

European banks had fed the rise in financial bubbles

Banks experienced heavy losses during the 2008 - 2009 crisis

Existence of oversized banking system in some countries

Governments bailed-out banks

Sharp increase in public debt

Public debt became risky assets

Banks weakened by the sovereign debt crisis

Vicious circle between the sovereign debt crisis and the banking crisis

II/ The three pillars of the Banking Union

A/ ECB as a single supervisory authority of the eurozone

B/ Common resolution fund & mechanisms for failing banks

C/ Common deposit-insurance scheme to prevent bank runs

A/ ECB as a single supervisory authority

ECB: direct responsibility for the 28 largest banks, But will have power to deal with small banks if necessary

The goals of the Single Supervisory Mechanism (SSM) are:

- to have an independent, powerful and credible supervisory authority without political interferences.
- To put banks in trouble under strict supervision before they become a threat to financial stability.
- To avoid the bias of protection of national banks by national authorities

B/ A single resolution mechanism (SRM)

- A common crisis resolution mode
- An early detection and correction of banking difficulties
- Organize possible bankruptcies and liquidation of banks
- "Bail-in" to limit the use of public funding if recapitalizations are needed
- Allow the European Stability Fund (ESM) to directly recapitalize banks

C/ A European deposit guarantee system (DGS)

The goals of a unique deposit guarantee system are:

- A same protection for all euro zone depositors
- Reducing the risks of bank run => avoiding solvency crises
- A European dimension: a full and credible guarantee

Modalities

- 100 000 euros guaranteed per depositors
- Including all European banks
- Financed by contributions from banks and public funding

III/ The strategic purpose of the Banking Union

- Copes with the deficit of bank regulation in the euro area, a major cause of the financial crisis (Larosière Report)
- Brings new mechanisms for financial stability besides existing ECB's instruments (LTRO, OMT) and the European Stability Mechanism
- Creates common instruments for crisis management
 => micro and macroprudential supervision
- Increases the homogeneity necessary for a Monetary Union (Mundell conditions for Optimal Currency Area): failure of the « Lamfalussy process » for convergence of supervisory practices

IV/ Unresolved issues

Three major issues:

- Monetary policy and the new role of ECB
- Governance of the Banking Union
- Banking model for the eurozone

A/ Monetary policy and the new role of ECB

- ECB now in charge of both monetary stability and financial stability
 - The separation principle (Goodfriend, 2009) is abandoned
 - The inflation targeting model needs to be revisited
- The ECB will be faced with a « optimal policy mix » problem:
 - More targets => more instruments
 - Conflicting targets (monetary stability may lead to financial instability: « tranquillity paradox », zero lower bound)
 - Hierarchy for targets ? Who will decide ?

B/ Governance of the Banking Union (BU)

• Two questions :

— What will be the geographical scope of the BU?

— What transfer of sovereignty from country members to the BU authorities ?

Geographical scope of the Banking Union?

- A BU limited to the eurozone or including the EU?
 - Relationships between the ECB (eurozone) and the EBA (EU) ? Competition or cooperation ?
 - The ECB does not control decision-making of EBA
 - EBA is weakened by the new role of ECB as supervisor
 - The efficiency of the BU weakened if the City is outside the BU
 - Remaining outside the eurozone but inside the EU is it sustainable ?

What transfer of sovereignty from country members to the BU authorities?

- One of the major goals of the BU is to cut the links between banks and national authorities
 - Will governments accept to lose their ability to influence the distribution of credit and the banking sector organization ? e.g. the Banque Publique d'Investissement in France
 - High heterogeneity of national banking systems:
 is this consistent with a single bank regulation
 system? (Case of tax havens)

C/ Banking model for the eurozone

- The banking crisis has shown that the universal banking model needs to be adapted SIFIs « too big to fail », « too interconnected »
- Proposed reforms (Vickers, Liikanen) => (mild) separation of retail banking from investment banking
- The BU reform will consolidate the universal banking model which is dominant in Europe

Impact of the Banking Union on the banking system

The Banking Union:

- will increase competition among banks in the euro area on a unified basis
 => oligopolistic structure of banking market will be reinforced
- will cut the links between domestic borrowers (Government, local authorities, firms and households) and domestic banks.
- Will increase the dependency of banks on financial markets

2 risks:

- 1. Increasing role of market-based intermediation at the expense of traditional intermediation => a threat for stability and growth
- 2. Local & regional banking will be weakened => negative effect on local business
- In the end, the BU will favor large universal banks and stimulate the financialization process in the eurozone

V/ Perspectives for the future of the European construction (1)

 The Banking Union => sizeable improvement in the regulation & supervision of banks

- However, the Banking union will not end the eurozone crisis
 - the making of the BU will take time
 - important issues need to be settled down

V/ Perspectives for the European construction (2)

- The Banking Union creates political problems :
 - Risk of splitting of the EU: exit of Britain?
 Some countries may not accept to loose their sovereignty
 - Risk for democracy: increased power given to the ECB without significant increase in accountability
 - => Need for a renewed ECB mandate enlarged to financial stability
 - ⇒ Need for a renewed definition of ECB independence Accountability of ECB to democratic institutions
 - Cooperation with other entities responsible of economic

The Banking Union cannot be considered separately from :

- Financial reforms => disarm financial markets
- Fiscal union => Common EU budget and taxation
- Political union => Democratic control on banking authorities and ECB

The Banking Union = technocratic federalism with limited legitimacy

policy