

The Rise of Domestic Outsourcing and the Evolution of the German Wage Structure*

Deborah Goldschmidt[†]
Analysis Group

Johannes F. Schmieder[‡]
Boston University
NBER, and IZA

November 2016

Abstract

The nature of the relationship between employers and employees has been changing over the last three decades, with firms increasingly relying on contractors, temp agencies and franchises rather than hiring employees directly. We investigate the impact of this transformation on the wage structure by following jobs that are moved outside of the boundary of lead employers to contracting firms. For this end we develop a new method for identifying outsourcing of food, cleaning, security and logistics services in administrative data using the universe of social security records in Germany. We document a dramatic growth of domestic outsourcing in Germany since the early 1990s. Event-study analyses show that wages in outsourced jobs fall by approximately 10-15% relative to similar jobs that are not outsourced. We find evidence that the wage losses associated with outsourcing stem from a loss of firm-specific rents, suggesting that labor cost savings are an important reason why firms choose to contract out these services. Finally, we tie the increase in outsourcing activity to broader changes in the German wage structure, in particular showing that outsourcing of cleaning, security and logistics services alone accounts for around 9 percent of the increase in German wage inequality since the 1980s.

*We would like to thank Katharine Abraham, David Autor, Josh Angrist, Stefan Bender, Giuseppe Berlingieri, Richard Blundell, David Card, Michael Clauss, Arindrajit Dube, Christian Dustmann, Robert Gibbons, Susan Houseman, Hillary Hoynes, Erik Hurst, Larry Kahn, Larry Katz, Pat Kline, Kevin Lang, Mark McCabe, W. Bentley McLeod, Claudia Olivetti, Anne Polivka, Jim Rebitzer, Uta Schoenberg, Till von Wachter, David Weil, Birger Wernerfelt, Heidi Williams, and Seminar Participants at Boston University, UC Berkeley, University of Bonn, CESifo, Chicago Fed, Cleveland Fed, University of Chicago, UCL, IZA, MIT Economics Department, MIT Sloan and Queens University. Johannes Schmieder gratefully acknowledges funding from the W.E. Upjohn Institute Early Career Research Grant No. 14-147-08. All errors are our own.

[†] dgold11@bu.edu

[‡] johannes@bu.edu

1 Introduction

Wage discrimination is rarely seen in large firms despite the benefits it could confer. As long as workers are under one roof, the problems presented by horizontal and vertical equity remain. But what if the large employer could wage discriminate by changing the boundary of the firm?

- Weil (2014) -

Large firms are increasingly relying on non-traditional employment arrangements such as outsourcing, temporary or contingent work, offshoring and subcontracting. Across a wide range of industries, firms have been focusing on their “core competencies” and hiring outside companies to provide services that were once performed by their own employees, such as cleaning, security, logistics, human resources, or IT. Outsourcing to business service providers potentially allows for reductions in wages for the contracted-out jobs.

The outsourcing firms are often traditional lead companies in sectors such as manufacturing or finance, and typically offer the most attractive jobs, with higher wages, increased job security, and union coverage. A long literature in economics (e.g. Dunlop, 1957; Krueger and Summers, 1988; Groshen, 1991; Gibbons and Katz, 1992) has documented large wage differences the same worker can earn across sectors and firms that appear not to be explained by differences in worker productivity. Instead factors such as collective bargaining agreements (Card et al., 2004; DiNardo and Lee, 2004) or efficiency wage considerations linked to fairness perceptions (Card et al., 2004; DiNardo and Lee, 2004; Akerlof and Yellen, 1990; Rees, 1993; Card et al., 2012) may lead to wage compression within firms and rent sharing of firm profits, which in turn pushes up wages for low wage workers. Large employers may thus find it beneficial to reduce the number of direct employees who benefit from such wage premia by outsourcing jobs to subcontractors. These business service firms compete fiercely with each other for service contracts from large companies on price, and since labor costs are a large share of business service firms’ total costs, this creates intense pressure to lower wages and reduce benefits.

Even though anecdotal evidence for these changes in the labor market abound, the literature on this topic is quite limited.¹ One problem with analyzing outsourcing is that it is difficult to measure and can usually only be approximated using industry and occupation codes. Furthermore, even with such an approximation, the existing research has relied

¹Weil (2014) provides a detailed, largely qualitative analysis of the practice of domestic outsourcing in the US and an overview of the quantitative research in economics. He only lists two papers that estimate wage differentials between contracted-out and in-house workers based on CPS data (discussed below) and only a handful of studies based on firm surveys that measure the increase in the incidence of sub-contracting of labor services. See Bernhardt et al. (2016) for a discussion of these data challenges. The topic has received somewhat more attention in the sociology literature, e.g. see Kalleberg (2000) for an overview.

largely on cross-sectional datasets on the worker level with little information on the outsourcing firms and the actual jobs people do. This has made it difficult to identify the causal impact of outsourcing on wages.

In this paper, we analyze the phenomenon of domestic outsourcing in Germany using administrative data on the universe of workers and firms.² We first document the rise of outsourcing of labor services over the last three decades in Germany, focusing in particular on logistics (i.e. truck drivers, warehouse workers), cleaning, security and food services.³ We then develop a new method for identifying outsourcing events, which allows us to observe wages for a particular job before and after the job is outsourced. Based on this we provide credible estimates of the causal effect of outsourcing on wages, documenting that moving jobs outside the boundary of the firm leads to large wage reductions. Next, we investigate whether the wage reductions associated with outsourcing can be explained with the loss of establishment wage premia. Finally, we analyze the contribution of outsourcing to the broad changes in the employment and wage structure experienced by Germany over the last decades.

To document the rise in domestic outsourcing, we begin with a very general measure of outsourcing namely the share of workers in a food, cleaning, security, or logistics occupations who are working for business service firms. Since the mid-1990s, there has been a dramatic increase in this measure of outsourcing, accompanied by a decline of those occupations in other sectors such as manufacturing or finance, suggesting that firms in such sectors are relying increasingly less on in-house provision of these services and instead on external contractors.⁴

An important innovation for this project is the development of a method of identifying what we refer to as on-site outsourcing. This type of outsourcing refers to situations where

²We use the term ‘domestic outsourcing’ to differentiate it from offshoring, which is a form of outsourcing that has been studied much more widely in the economics literature even though it is not clear that it is quantitatively more important.

³We focus on these services because they are tasks that have remained fairly comparable over time, they correspond to clear occupation codes and the respective business service firms can be identified over time. Furthermore the share of these occupations remained approximately constant over the past 3 decades. Outsourcing also occurred for many other tasks and we see, for example, the share of accountants, advertising jobs, IT occupations, and phone operators who are working for business service firms rise substantially over time; however all of these occupations also exhibit strong trends in the number of workers in them over time (falling for accountants and phone operators, increasing for advertising and IT) and the characteristics of these jobs may have changed substantially with the advent of new technologies. Our estimates are thus specific to outsourcing in relatively low skill and low wage jobs and it should be kept in mind that the effects might be quite different for other tasks.

⁴This trend to vertical disintegration appears to be more widespread than just for the area of labor services. E.g. Dustmann et al. (2014) document that final goods producers in the German manufacturing sector have been relying increasingly on buying intermediate inputs from outside the firm and from abroad (offshoring) and are responsible for an increasingly smaller share of the value added of final goods.

a large employer spins off a group of workers providing a particular service, such as cafeteria workers, to a legally separate business unit, such as a subsidiary or an existing business service provider. In these situations the outsourced workers still work together and do essentially the same job at the same physical location, but under a different employer. Such outsourcing events can be identified in administrative datasets using worker flows between establishments. For example, if we observe a group of workers splitting off from a large bank in year $t-1$ and forming a new establishment identifier in year t with an industry code of ‘cafeteria’, this likely reflects that the bank is outsourcing its cafeteria. This is further supported if the workers who are leaving worked in food related jobs in year $t-1$ at the bank, and the bank does not replace these occupations in the following year.

We can identify around 1000 on-site outsourcing events in the German administrative data and we show that such events have increased at a similar rate as the rise in business service employment. While these instances of on-site outsourcing only constitute a small share of all outsourcing, they provide a powerful testing ground to analyze the wage effects of outsourcing, since they allow us to follow jobs over time where both the worker and, most likely, the work location remain the same, and wage changes are plausibly due to the change in the employment relationship.

In many instances firms face a choice of whether to have a job done by in-house employees or to contract it out to a business service provider. Due to various institutional reasons and frictions in the labor market, this choice may affect the wage paid to the worker. This difference between the wage paid for a worker providing the same service, either in-house or through a contractor is what we call the wage effect of outsourcing or the wage penalty of outsourcing (anticipating the sign of our estimates). Our main contribution is to estimate wage effect of outsourcing using two approaches. We first show that workers who are outsourced in on-site outsourcing events typically stay with the business service firm they are outsourced to for the following years, and their employment is similarly stable as for workers in the same occupations and industries who are not outsourced. Comparing the evolution of wages of outsourced workers workers before and after outsourcing to similar non-outsourced workers, we find that wages fall by around 10 percent over 10 years. As a second method of estimating the wage losses from outsourcing, we compare wages of workers in logistics, cleaning, food and security occupations who are employed in business services firms with those employed directly by other employers, controlling for individual fixed effects.⁵ This

⁵This is the same method used by Abraham (1990) and Dube and Kaplan (2010), who use CPS data to estimate the effect of outsourcing on wages. It is also similar to the earlier literature that estimated industry wage differentials using individual fixed effects, e.g. Krueger and Summers (1988). The criticism of this approach in Gibbons and Katz (1992) applies in the outsourcing case as well, which is why identifying on-site outsourcing as an exogenous (from the individual’s perspective) shock is crucial.

yields almost identical estimates of the wage penalty of outsourcing. Even though the two approaches have different advantages and disadvantages, they thus paint a very consistent picture.

Firms may choose to engage in outsourcing for various reasons. Subcontractors can provide increased flexibility for firms whose needs vary throughout the year, or provide specialized skills or technology that would be costly for a firm to invest in. Outsourcing can also provide cost savings through lower labor costs, if outsourced workers are excluded from wage premia or rents at the outsourcing firm. To test the hypothesis that the wage losses of outsourced workers stem from being excluded from firm rents, we first obtain estimates of the establishment wage premium by implementing a full decomposition of wages in Germany into establishment and worker fixed effects as in Card et al. (2013) - henceforth CHK - and in the spirit of Abowd et al. (1999).⁶ We first show that establishments pay comparable rents (in log terms) to workers in the relatively low skilled food, cleaning, security and logistics (FCSL) occupations as they do for their overall workforce. For example, an establishment that pays 10 percent higher wages to their non-FCSL workers pays around 8 percent higher wages to their FCSL workers. This suggests that high rent employers face a strong incentive to outsource workers who do not belong to their core workforce. Second, we show that the establishment fixed effect of workers moving to business service firms falls by around 10 log points, fully explaining the wage losses at outsourcing. We also show that workers who are outsourced at employers that pay higher rents tend to experience higher wage losses. Finally, we document that establishments that pay above market wages or are covered by collective bargaining agreements are more likely to outsource parts of their labor force. These findings suggest that exclusion from establishment wage premia is a driving factor for the wage losses and likely part of the motivation for why firms outsource.

Germany provides a particularly interesting setting to study outsourcing. Over the last few decades there has been a substantial increase in wage inequality, with significant wage declines at the bottom of the wage distribution (Dustmann et al., 2009, CHK). These changes in the wage structure are in part explained by deunionization, the erosion of the sectoral level collective bargaining system, and the increased decentralization of the wage setting mechanism.⁷ However, as CHK show, a significant portion of the rise in wage inequality comes from increased assortative matching of workers employed together with others in the same or similar jobs, and low skilled workers being matched with low paying firms, something that is not easily explained by deunionization. On the other hand, increased reliance on

⁶See Card et al. (2016b) for a recent review of this literature.

⁷See for example Dustmann et al. (2014) for a discussion of how the German reunification in combination with the Eastern EU expansion led to the reduction in collective bargaining coverage rates.

outsourcing, particularly of lower-skilled labor services and other inputs, provides a natural explanation for this change, as lead firms move parts of their labor inputs out of the core workforce and into highly specialized, lower-paying business service firms.⁸

We provide evidence that outsourcing did indeed contribute to these changes in the German employment and wage structure. In particular, we combine the establishment-worker fixed effects decomposition with the reweighting methodology in DiNardo et al. (1996) to obtain a counterfactual distribution of wages and establishment fixed effects if outsourcing had not increased. Based on this, we show that outsourcing of cleaning, security and logistics workers alone can account for about 9 percent of the increased wage dispersion in Germany, with equal parts due to increased dispersion of the establishment component and increased assortative matching of low paid workers to low paying employers. While we view outsourcing as a complementary explanation to deunionization for the change in the German wage structure and the increases in competitiveness, these two channels are likely intertwined, since weaker unions facilitated outsourcing decisions, and outsourcing weakened the bargaining positions of unions and work councils. In fact, the increase in domestic outsourcing may have put wage pressure on in-house employees in similar jobs, since these employees are increasingly in competition with outside business service firms.⁹

The next section presents the data and institutional background, as well as a description of our measures of domestic outsourcing. Section 3 presents our empirical results on the effects of outsourcing on workers' employment trajectories and wages of outsourced jobs. In section 4 we provide evidence that firms decide to outsource to avoid paying establishment specific wage premia. Finally section 5 relates outsourcing to the broader changes observed in the German wage structure and section 6 concludes.

2 The Rise of Domestic Outsourcing

2.1 Institutional Background

In the German collective bargaining system, wages are negotiated between employer associations and unions on the industry or firm level, often in close coordination with elected

⁸Outsourcing may also explain why unit labor costs in the German manufacturing sector declined even though manufacturing wages remained relatively stable (see Dustmann et al., 2014): while large employers continue to pay relatively high wages, they benefit from the drop in wages at their sub-contractors and suppliers.

⁹For example, this is illustrated by the final report of the Harvard Committee on Employment and Contracting Policies (2001), also known as the Katz committee, that investigated the situation of low wage workers at Harvard University. The report noted in particular that “in-house employees [...] have typically been employed by Harvard service units that operate on a fee-for-service business model and compete with outside contractors” and “outsourcing competition put pressure on Harvard’s unions to bring wages down to the rates paid by outside contractors”.

establishment level work councils (see Fitzenberger et al., 2013; Dustmann et al., 2014). The close level of cooperation between the different parties appeared to lead to relatively high wages and good working conditions, while at the same time avoiding costly strikes and conflicts between unions and employers. This system was based on contractual relations and mutual agreements, and firms were free to leave the collective agreements and instead set wages either in firm level negotiations or without any agreement.

Starting in the early 1990s, Germany experienced a sharp decline in collective bargaining coverage rates and union membership.¹⁰ Many existing firms left the employer associations and collective agreements, while new firms opted not to join them in the first place. Dustmann et al. (2009) and CHK argue that this decline was kick-started by the decision of labor unions to demand West German wage levels in East German establishments almost immediately after the reunification. The large productivity gap essentially forced East German employers to leave the collective agreements, which in turn led to firms in West Germany imitating them and leaving the agreements as well. Increased pressure from globalization, the threat of moving production to Eastern Germany or the newly accessible Eastern European countries, and high levels of unemployment in Germany all provided West German firms with the necessary leverage to force work councils and unions to agree to these changes.

While work councils have to be consulted for a wide variety of firm level decisions that affect workers, this does not apply to outsourcing decisions and German firms are legally free to do so at their discretion. In practice work councils and unions may try to fight outsourcing, but their success will depend on the willingness of the core workforce to stand up for the workers affected by outsourcing. It seems likely that the same factors that led to decreased union coverage likely also facilitated outsourcing of parts of the workforce. On the other hand, as noted by Doellgast and Greer (2007), outsourcing itself offers a way for firms to sidestep the unions, since even if a firm is following a collective bargaining agreement, outsourced workers employed by a different sector typically would not be covered by the same agreement. Furthermore, workers in business service firms are often not well organized and in many cases do not form a work council.¹¹

Another factor that has facilitated outsourcing in Germany has been a steady deregulation of the temp agency sector (Vitols, 2004). The number of employees in this sector subsequently increased dramatically since the early 1990s and the sector became more es-

¹⁰For example Dustmann et al. (2014) report that from 1995 to 2008, industry wide agreements fell from 75 to 56 percent, while firm level agreements stayed close to 10 percent.

¹¹Based on our calculations with the IAB establishment panel, around 6 percent of business service firms have a work council, and around 30 percent are covered by collective bargaining agreements. The relatively large collective agreement coverage is largely due to temp agencies that are mostly covered by a collective bargaining agreement specific to the temp sector, which sets a pretty low wage floor (e.g. 7.50 Euro per hour in 2010).

established, with many large temp agencies offering their services to other firms, thus making it easier to outsource.¹²

2.2 Data

We use the Integrated Employment Biographies data (IEB), which represents the universe of social security records in Germany over the time period 1975 to 2009.¹³ The IEB has been made available through the Research Data Center of the German Federal Employment Agency at the Institute for Employment Research (IAB). Employers are required to file a report for all employees who are employed during a year. This report contains information on the duration of employment, the total pay over that period, the employment type (full-time, part-time, apprentice), and a number of demographic variables (such as education, nationality, gender, and age). The pay information, used for social insurance calculations, is very accurate but top coded. Using the exact start and enddate of each employment spell we calculate real daily wages in year 2000 Euro as our main dependent variable. The data cover all employment subject to social security contributions, but exclude certain types of government employees and the self-employed. Furthermore, since employers and individuals are uniquely identified through establishment and person IDs, it is possible to construct complete employment histories for individual workers and to follow establishments over time. One limitation is that the data only contain establishment, not firm, identifiers.¹⁴

For our approach of measuring outsourcing it is important that the data contain industry and occupation for every worker. Both pieces of information are an integral part of the employment notification and cannot be easily left blank. Occupation, for example, is only missing in around 0.05% of employment records. The industry classification system changed several times during our observation period with breaks in 1999, 2003 and 2009. We used crosswalks together with our best judgment to consistently classify business service firms and outsourcing over time. Moreover, we do not have industry codes for the last year (2009) and therefore all results relying on long time series for identifying outsourcing only span 1975 to 2008.

¹²Interestingly, countries with very different institutional backgrounds also experienced a dramatic rise in outsourcing. For example Autor (2003) argues that in the US the development of the “unjust dismissal” doctrine that restricted the employment at will notion contributed to the growth in outsourcing to temporary help service firms. Since Germany always had fairly strong employment protection laws, there was no legal change in this regard driving the increase in temp services, although the existence of these laws might have spurred outsourcing once this was easier for other reasons.

¹³See Oberschachtsiek et al. (2009)

¹⁴Multi-establishment firms typically have a separate identifier for each establishment they own, or they may combine several establishments within the same county (such as branches) under a single establishment identifier, but establishment identifiers do not span across multiple counties. See Hethcote-Maier and Schmieder (2013) for more details.

2.3 Measuring Outsourcing using Industry and Occupation Codes

As a first way to show the changes in employment patterns due to outsourcing, Figure 1 graphs the share of large establishments (over 100 workers) which employ at least one FCSL worker in each year separately for several large industries. Starting with the top left graph, we see that over time fewer retail establishments employed workers in these occupations. For example, in 1975, about 82% of retail establishments had at least one cleaning worker on staff, while in 2009, only about 20% did. Presumably these retail establishments are still being cleaned, and so it is likely that these tasks have been contracted out to another provider, rather than being done by workers employed directly by the retail firms. We see the same patterns among manufacturing and finance firms. For hospitals, the share employing FCSL workers has also decreased over time, although not quite as dramatically and mainly during the 1990s and 2000s.

To obtain a broad picture of the evolution of domestic outsourcing, Figure 2 (a) shows the share of workers among all West German workers who are employed in establishments that - based on their industry codes - provide cleaning, security or logistics (CSL) services to other firms or who are temp agencies. We do not include food workers here, since only the industry codes from 1999 onwards allow us to distinguish between business service firms and regular restaurants. The figure documents a dramatic rise in outsourcing of labor services over the past 3 decades: the share of outsourced workers in CSL business service firms and temp agencies has increased from 2 percent to almost 8 percent of the West German workforce in 2008. The figure also breaks out temp agency workers as a separate group, showing a stark increase to around 2.5 percent of all workers in Germany in 2008. Importantly, these changes occurred even though the share (and absolute numbers) of workers in CSL occupations remained approximately constant over this time period (see Appendix Figure A-1).

Figure 2 (b) shows the share of workers in FCSL occupations working for a FCSL business service firm or a temp agency. For example, a food services worker such as a waiter or cook is considered to be an outsourced worker if she is employed in the “catering” or “canteen” industry, or in a temp agency. The share of outsourced workers in these occupations has increased substantially in all four groups over time. The most dramatic increase is the rise of cleaners working for firms providing cleaning services: while in 1975 only about 10 percent of cleaners were working for cleaning firms, this share has risen to almost 40 percent by 2008. Cleaning tasks may lend themselves particularly well to being broken out of the normal firm hierarchy and, as they are often very low-paying, may provide particularly good opportunities for cost savings through outsourcing. There was also a 20 percentage point rise in the share of security and logistics workers working for business service firms and, over

the shorter time period, an increase in the share of food workers employed in business service firms, from about 16 percent to 26 percent.¹⁵

2.4 Identifying On-site Outsourcing

The IEB, like most data sets, does not contain any direct information on whether firms are outsourcing services and what workers they are employing through contractors. Instead, we develop a method to identify a particular type of outsourcing using worker flows between establishments. We call this on-site outsourcing, and it refers to cases where companies contract out part of their workforce to a legally independent sub-contractor but where the same employees continue their work at the same physical location. For example, in 2005 the Daimler corporation implemented a large cost-saving program called “CORE” to focus on its core business competencies. As part of this program it outsourced several of its in-house cafeterias into a legally independent subsidiary company, which was at first fully owned by Daimler and later sold in parts to various business service firms. The employees largely remained the same and still worked at the same locations, but were now employed by a different employer.¹⁶

We identify these on-site outsourcing events using worker flows between establishment identifiers, implementing a strategy similar to Hethey-Maier and Schmieder (2013), which dealt with classifying establishment entries and exits, and Muendler et al. (2012), which used worker flows to identify employee spin-offs. Starting with the universe of covered workers as of June 30 in each year from 1975 to 2009, we track workers as they move between establishments from year to year. We define a clustered flow of workers to be a group of workers who were all employed in establishment A, the predecessor, in one year and then in the following year were all employed in establishment B, the successor.

A clustered flow at time t is considered an on-site outsourcing event if the following conditions hold: First, the flow must consist of 10 employees or more, to eliminate small flows that may be a part of regular year-to-year worker movements. The predecessor establishment must have at least 50 employees in the year prior to the flow, continue to exist in the following year and not shrink by more than 50%, to ensure that the flow we observe is not due to an establishment closing, severely downsizing, or breaking apart. The flow must also represent

¹⁵Food workers employed by restaurants and hotels are omitted from these calculations, as they would be considered neither “outsourced” nor “in-house”, but rather providing the main service of the establishment. We also exclude workers in the “waiter, steward” occupation who are employed in the air travel industry, as they are likely to be flight attendants and not relevant to this study.

¹⁶This description of the events is based on personal conversations with Daimler employees. There are many other case studies describing similar events, e.g. Doellgast and Greer (2007) describe outsourcing in the automobile and telecommunications sector in Germany, Dietz et al. (2013) describe outsourcing of airport workers in the U.S., and Smith Institute (2014) provides several examples from the UK.

less than 30% of employment in the predecessor in the previous year, so that we are certain that the outsourced employees represent only a small part of the predecessor’s business.¹⁷ Finally, we restrict the successor establishment to have an industry code corresponding to a business service firm in either logistics, food services, cleaning or security, and ensure that the predecessor establishment is not a business service firm, giving us further confidence that these flows are likely to be outsourcing occurrences and not spin-offs or other types of establishment changes.¹⁸ For all outsourcing events, we call the predecessor establishment the mother, and the successor establishment the daughter.¹⁹

We also use this method to identify events where the daughter is a temp agency. Since temp agencies can in principle provide many different labor services and are not associated with clear occupation codes we find these on-site outsourcing events to temp agencies somewhat less clean from an identification perspective. On the other hand, temp agencies clearly played an important role in the rise of outsourcing in Germany. For example, there are many news stories that business service firms themselves often outsource large shares of their workforces to temp agencies. We therefore focus our analysis of the wage effects of outsourcing on workers in FCSL (food, cleaning, security or logistics) tasks, but we do also provide estimates for temp agencies separately and include workers in temp agencies in our

¹⁷If the successor is a new establishment (i.e. the establishment ID appears in the data for the first time in year t), then we further require that the clustered flow makes up 65% or more of the successor’s employment. While the exact cutoffs we use here are of course debatable, we found that in practice changing these thresholds - even by a substantial amount - barely affects our main wage results reported below. As will be seen below, the average outsourcing establishments that we identify is far away from these cutoffs: much larger prior to the flow, barely shrinks and the flow is a very small part of total employment. Table A-14 in the online appendix also shows that our main results are very robust to the exact definition.

¹⁸Business service industries for logistics include transportation, warehouse and storage. For food occupations include canteens and catering. For cleaning, industries include industrial cleaning, cleaning of buildings, rooms and equipment, street cleaning, chimney-sweeping, and scaffolding and facade cleaning. For security occupations, the industries used were labeled security activities and security and storage activities. For a complete listing of industry and occupation codes used, see Online Appendix Tables A-3 and A-4.

¹⁹While the outsourcing definition that we use does not explicitly exclude situations where a mother establishment re-hires the types of workers who left the firm, we find that this is not typically the case. In Appendix Figure A-2 (a) we graph the number of workers employed in the outsourced occupation at the mother establishment (i.e. for establishments outsourcing cleaning tasks, this would be the number of workers who are in occupations labeled “cleaner”) in the years surrounding outsourcing (which occurs between year -1 and 0). We find that this number drops sharply at the time of outsourcing and does not increase, indicating that these workers are not replaced. If our method were instead just capturing layoffs or quits of groups of workers while the corresponding tasks still stayed in-house, then the mother establishment would have to replace these workers with others in the same occupation. Appendix Figure A-2 (b) shows establishment size before and after outsourcing, and while establishment size decreases slightly in the years before outsourcing, there is only a small drop at the time of outsourcing and afterwards employment continues to be relatively flat, assuring us that we are not capturing mass layoffs or other types of restructuring or downsizing. Appendix Figure A-4 provides additional evidence on how outsourcing establishments evolve relative to a matched group of non-outsourcing establishments. Overall it does not seem that outsourcing is correlated with other shocks or changes at an establishment.

descriptive analysis on the rise of outsourcing.

While this type of outsourcing was relatively uncommon in the late 1970s and 1980s, the mid-90s saw a large increase in the number of outsourcing events to about 60-80 per year, as can be seen in Figure 3 (a). This increase occurred across all five types of outsourcing events, which follow similar time paths (Figure 3 b), roughly coinciding with the rise in employment in business service firms shown in Figure 2.²⁰

Both our measure of on-site outsourcing events as well as our analysis based on industry and occupation codes showed a substantial increase in outsourcing over the past three decades. Especially since the late 1980s / early 1990s the growth has accelerated and reached quite dramatic levels. These findings are largely in line with the limited evidence from the US and other countries, which covers much shorter time periods and more restrictive occupation groups.²¹

3 The Effects of Outsourcing on Wages

3.1 Framework

It is not obvious that business service firms would pay different wages than outsourcing firms. In particular, in a competitive labor market wages should be determined by the productivity of the worker and possibly a compensating wage differential component. However, if labor markets are not perfectly competitive, then outsourcing may allow for lower wages by reducing the non-competitive wage component.

To clarify this, consider the following simple wage setting equation:

$$\ln(w_{it}) = \delta Outsourced_{it} + z'_{jt}\gamma + x'_{it}\beta + \epsilon_{it} \quad (1)$$

²⁰The spikes in 1983 and 1988 in outsourcing of food services are all due to department stores outsourcing restaurants in those two years. We cannot link up our data to the company level across different counties, but it seems likely that in each of these years a large department store chain decided to outsource all of their restaurants simultaneously. We base this interpretation largely on the fact that the spikes are driven by outsourcing events with exactly the same industry codes of mothers and daughters, as well as similar establishment sizes in those years, while in other years there is a wide mix of industry codes among the different mother establishments.

²¹For example, Abraham and Taylor (1996) used a survey question in the 1979-1987 Industry Wage Surveys and found an increase in the fraction of work contracted out for janitorial, machine maintenance, engineering and drafting, accounting and computer tasks. Using the industry and occupation codes in the CPS from 1983 to 2000, Dube and Kaplan (2010) found an increase in the share of janitors and guards working for firms that provide labor services to other firms. Dey et al. (2010) investigated industry and occupation codes in the Occupational Employment Statistics program and found that the share of workers in security, janitor, computer, and truck driver occupations employed in industries that provide services to other firms increased from 1989-2000. Segal and Sullivan (1997) and Autor (2003) document a sharp increase in employment in temporary help services between 1980 and 2000. Finally Berlingieri (2013) argues that the rise in professional and business services outsourcing is responsible for around 14 percent of the increase in service employment in the US.

where $\ln(w_{it})$ is the (log) daily wage of worker i at time t working at job j . $Outsourced_{it}$ is an indicator function taking a value of one if the worker is outsourced, that is the worker is employed through a business service firm, and taking a value of zero if the job is not outsourced, that is the workers is employed in-house. Furthermore, wages are determined by characteristics of the job z_{jt} , and individual characteristics x_{it} . A job is a set of tasks at a particular physical location, e.g. a cook in a cafeteria within a bank. Workplace or job characteristics that affect wages include working conditions or characteristics such as the amount of variety or stress involved in the tasks. Whether a worker is outsourced or employed in-house may affect the wage for various reasons. For example, if wages are set in a collective bargaining process, then the profits of the employer might affect individual wages through rent sharing. If the worker is outsourced, then the rent component may be lost, either because profits of the subcontractor are lower or because workers may be in a weaker bargaining position because they are not covered by the same labor union.

Equation (1) could be estimated using OLS, however outsourced status is likely correlated with workplace and individual worker characteristics. While panel data may help to control for individual characteristics via individual fixed effects, it is rare to have information on job characteristics. We provide two alternative estimates of the effects of outsourcing: First, we estimate equation (1) using an event-study design around on-site outsourcing events. Second, we implement the method used by Dube and Kaplan (2010) to estimate wage differences between outsourced and non-outsourced FCSL workers using individual fixed effects regressions. The two approaches have advantages and disadvantages, explained below, and we view them as complementary evidence.²²

3.2 The Effects of On-site Outsourcing on Wages

Method

To measure the effect of on-site outsourcing, we construct a comparison group of workers at jobs which are not outsourced using a matching algorithm. For each outsourced worker, we take the set of non-outsourced workers who worked in the same industry and occupation in the year prior to outsourcing to be our potential control group.²³ We then estimate a probit regression of whether a worker is outsourced or not, controlling for tenure and establishment size in the year prior to outsourcing as well as wages two and three years

²²Both the on-site outsourcing and industry-occupation estimates may fail to capture the cost of outsourcing to workers who are simply laid off and replaced by a business services firm. This type of focus on the effect of outsourcing on the worker level would be closer to the displaced worker literature, while here we are interested in the effects of outsourcing on the job level. Nevertheless in the appendix we discuss this type of worker level analysis, which we call Occupational Layoff outsourcing, and provide some estimates.

²³For this we use 3 digit occupation and 3 digit industry codes (5 digit after 1999).

prior. We restrict our sample to workers with at least 2 years of tenure in the year prior to outsourcing. For each outsourced worker we then choose the non-outsourced worker with the closest propensity score to the comparison worker.²⁴ Columns 1 and 2 of Table 1 show worker characteristics for our analysis sample. The characteristics of the matched outsourced and non-outsourced workers are quite similar, even for characteristics that were not part of the matching algorithm, such as fulltime status and education.

We use an event-study framework, using the full employment histories of our treatment and control groups by estimating regression models of the form:

$$y_{it} = \sum_{k=-5}^{10} \delta_k I(t = t^* + k) Outsourced_{it} + \alpha_i + \xi_j + \theta_t + x'_{it}\beta + \varepsilon_{it} \quad (2)$$

where y_{it} is an outcome variable, such as the log daily wage, and $Outsourced_{it}$ is an indicator for whether individual i was outsourced in year t^* . α_i are individual fixed effects, θ_t are year fixed effects to control for year-level shocks that could affect all workers and jobs, x_{it} are individual-level time varying worker controls, and ε_{it} is an error term. We cluster standard errors on the level of the outsourcing establishment.²⁵ While we do not directly observe the job or workplace, we can indirectly control for job characteristics if we assume that workers who remain at the establishment that they are outsourced do also continue to be on the same job. Under this assumption, restricting the sample to individuals who remain at the same employer as in the year right before and after outsourcing is a way to indirectly control for job fixed effects ξ_j , since in this sample these are collinear to the individual fixed effects. This strikes us as a plausible assumption in the case of on-site outsourcing events. Each coefficient δ_k measures the change in the outcome variable y_{it} for outsourced jobs relative to the non-outsourced control group in the k -th year before or after outsourcing occurred.

Results

We start by comparing the wage and employment trajectories of outsourced and non-outsourced workers in the years before and after outsourcing, without restricting the sample

²⁴We tested other matching specifications and found essentially the same results. For example, we matched on other variables such as current wage, full-time status, and education. We also implemented a two-step matching procedure, where we first found a control establishment for each outsourcing establishment, matching on establishment size and mean wage; in the second step, we matched each outsourced worker to a worker in the non-outsourcing matched establishment, matching on wage and education. This latter procedure makes it harder to find very similar individual matches in the second step, but the estimation results are very similar. For a variety of other robustness checks, see Table A-6 in the Online Appendix.

²⁵This seems to be the most conservative in this case. If we cluster on the individual level, SEs are about one third as large.

to workers who remain at the same employer as when the outsourcing event occurs. Figure 4 (a) shows the log daily wage before and after outsourcing for the two groups. Year 0 is the first year when outsourced workers are working at the business service firm. It is reassuring for our design that the two groups show very similar trends in wages prior to the outsourcing year. We do not restrict this figure to be a balanced panel, but to be in the analysis sample every individual has an observation for years $t = -3$ to $t = 0$, due to the tenure restriction of 2 years and the requirement that we see workers in $t = 0$ to determine whether or not they are outsourced. This explains the change in the slope at $t = -3$ and $t = 0$, since we have a selected sample of who is observed before and after these points.²⁶ While these selection issues make it harder to compare the level of the evolution of wages in the two groups, the difference between the two groups is meaningful and clearly reveals, that at the time of outsourcing the two groups diverge, and within 3 years after the outsourcing event outsourced workers have about 10 percent lower wages than the non-outsourced group. These differences are persistent, lasting for at least 10 years after outsourcing occurs.

Since the unbalanced panel in Figure 4 (a) complicates the interpretation, Figure 4 (b) shows log daily wages for a restricted panel of workers who are continuously observed from 5 years prior to 10 years after outsourcing. While we have fewer observations in this sample, the figure shows clearly that outsourced and non-outsourced workers have steady wage growth until outsourcing occurs. However, as soon as workers are outsourced, wages fall slightly and remain essentially flat over the following years, so that a gap of about 10 log points opens up relative to the control group.

In Figure 4 (c), we turn to days worked per year, but find essentially no difference between the two groups. We also explored differences in fulltime status before and after outsourcing and found no difference between the two groups. It thus seems that while outsourcing has a strong negative effect on the daily wages of the outsourced workers, there are basically no employment effects. Furthermore, while we do not observe hourly wages, the fact that neither days worked nor fulltime status is affected by outsourcing makes it likely that hours are not the driving factor behind the loss in daily wages. In the online appendix (Table A-6), we show that the results are very robust, for example to controlling for fulltime status or alternative control groups.

We further investigate employment stability in Figure 4 (d) by graphing the probability of being employed at the outsourced job. In each year prior to outsourcing, the dependent variable in this figure takes a value of one if the worker is employed at the outsourcing estab-

²⁶We conducted extensive robustness checks with different tenure restrictions. For example with a tenure restriction of 5 years, the 'kink' occurs between year $t=-6$ and $t=-5$, which cuts our sample size but leaves the point estimates for the wage losses very similar.

lishment - the establishment at which he was employed in time $t=-1$ - and zero otherwise. For each year after outsourcing, it takes a value of one if the worker is employed at the same establishment as in time $t=0$ (for outsourced workers this is the daughter establishment, for non-outsourced worker it is the same establishment as in time $t=-1$). Figure 4 (d) shows that outsourced workers are leaving their jobs at a slightly higher rate after outsourcing than non-outsourced workers - 3 years after outsourcing, 77% of outsourced workers and 85% of non-outsourced workers remain at the same job.²⁷ When we investigated the job stability patterns by outsourcing type, we found that the gap in mobility between outsourced and non-outsourced workers is largest for cleaning outsourcing events, which - as we document below - is also the group for whom wage losses are highest. As this suggests that the workers who experience the largest wage losses are most likely to leave their jobs after outsourcing, our method may slightly underestimate the wage losses associated with outsourcing.

The raw means are informative in our matched sample, but there is the possibility that selection and time varying variables on the individual level (in particular age) affect the changes over time. For example, it is possible that in the non-outsourced group, low wage workers are more likely to leave the labor force, thus creating a mechanical increase in wages in the non-outsourced group relative to the outsourced group. The event study design allows us to control for this type of selection by estimating equation (2) controlling for individual fixed effects as well as year-level shocks. Figure 5 (a) graphs the δ_k coefficients from estimating equation (2) using log daily wage as the dependent variable. The regression framework confirms that outsourced workers suffer an immediate drop in wages at the time of outsourcing and wages continue to decline relative to non-outsourced workers, ending up about 10% lower after 10 years.

While we can follow workers over extended time periods, the further away from the outsourcing event we compare outsourced to non-outsourced workers, the less likely it is that the workers are still at the same jobs, as we documented in Figure 4 (d) above. The main goal of this paper however is to estimate how wages change for jobs that are outsourced. These estimates may partly be driven by workers who change establishments, and hence leave their job, from our sample. Figure 5 (b) shows estimates of the δ_k coefficients in equation (2) restricting our sample to workers who remained at the same job after outsourcing, thus indirectly controlling for job fixed effects. The results for this restricted sample show a similar pattern but indicate somewhat larger wage losses of about 15% 10 years after outsourcing. The impact on jobs is likely larger than the long-term wage impact on workers since in the

²⁷The increased mobility among outsourced workers could also in part be due to ownership changes of the outsourced establishments. Anecdotally it appears somewhat common that workers are outsourced into subsidiaries that are later sold to other companies, which may be accompanied by a change in the establishment ID.

long term outsourced workers can move out of the outsourced job and to a higher-paying position.²⁸

Table 2 presents wage regression results for workers and jobs, for the full sample as well as by daughter establishment type. This table uses a specification similar to equation 2, but, instead of using individual dummy variables for each year relative to outsourcing, it uses three indicator variables for the time periods: pre-outsourcing (includes the 6 years prior to outsourcing), short-run post-outsourcing (includes the year outsourcing occurs and the following 3 years), and long-run post-outsourcing (years 4-10 after outsourcing). In panel A we include all matched outsourced and non-outsourced workers and verify that outsourced workers suffer a decrease in log wages both in the short- and long-term of about 5.6% and 8.5%, respectively. Workers outsourced to cleaning establishments face the largest decrease in both the short and long term, while logistics and food workers are impacted slightly less. We also report the effects of on-site outsourcing events where the daughter is a temp agency, though these cases are not included in the pooled specifications. It is striking that for outsourcing to temp agencies wage losses are the largest with around 16 percent drops in the long run. In panel B we restrict the sample to only those workers who remain at the establishment that they are outsourced to as a way to likely restrict it to workers remaining on the same job. The results show that outsourced jobs suffer an even larger wage loss in the long term, of about 10%, relative to non-outsourced jobs.

The last columns in Table 2 show the wage losses depending on whether the business service firm that workers are outsourced to is a new establishment or an existing establishment. The wage losses are similar, with just slightly larger losses for existing establishments, which could be due to outsourcing events into existing establishments being different along other dimensions.

Overall, we find very consistent medium run wage losses of about 10 percent for jobs that are outsourced during on-site outsourcing events. These results are very robust to different choices with respect to sample, outsourcing definition, or estimation method (such as matching algorithms vs. purely regression adjusted estimates). One concern is whether wages for outsourced workers decline relative to non-outsourced workers because the outsourcing

²⁸Selecting individuals based on an endogenous outcome after the treatment (whether or not to move to a different employer), raises the possibility of selection bias, if there are heterogenous trends or shocks in terms of wages across individuals. If mobility is correlated with negative wage shocks at the outsourced job, then our job level estimates are lower bounds of the wage penalty from outsourcing (i.e. the true effect would be even larger). If mobility is positively correlated with wage shocks (that is workers who moved would have experienced wage increases had they stayed at the outsourced jobs), then our job level estimates may be biased towards larger wage losses. In this case, worker level estimates still provide a lower bound of the wage penalty, since workers who moved probably did not move to jobs that paid less than if they had stayed behind. Given that mobility was highest among workers who experienced the largest wage losses (cleaners), we find the interpretation that the job level estimates are lower bounds more plausible.

establishments are negatively selected. Figure 5 (c) provides alternative estimates where we create the control group by also matching with establishments in the same state (in addition to matching within industry and occupation), matching on the county unemployment rate in the year prior to outsourcing, and matching on establishment mean wages and employment growth rates. The estimates are virtually unchanged, suggesting that we are not selecting particularly badly performing establishments.

In order to further address the concern, that wages losses of outsourced workers are simply capturing general wage declines at the outsourcing establishment, we also estimated the effects using a control group of workers in the outsourcing establishment who are not being outsourced. To construct this control group we use exact matching within establishment and occupation, as well as using propensity score matching to match on tenure and pre-outsourcing wages. While this leads to a smaller sample (about half the size), since we often cannot find any remaining workers in the outsourced occupations, Figure 5 (d) shows that the point estimates are virtually unchanged.²⁹

The effect of outsourcing on wages that we measure is best understood as the partial equilibrium effect to an individual worker (or job) relative to the counterfactual that his particular employer would not have been able to (or simply did not decide to) outsource at the time. It does not answer how the increasing prevalence of outsourcing affected wages of FCSL workers in general. For example, the mere threat of outsourcing may have led to wage reductions for FCSL workers in non-outsourcing firms. On the other hand if outsourcing had not been a possibility at all, the firms that did decide to outsource (and thus had to strongest incentive to do so) might have found other ways to reduce wages to FCSL workers. In section 5 below, we will return to the question of how outsourcing may have affected the overall wage distribution.

3.3 The Effect of Working for a Business Services Firm

While the wage estimates using our measure of on-site outsourcing have a high degree of internal validity, they may be limited in their generalizability since these events are relatively rare and may not be representative of the bulk of outsourcing. On-site outsourcing may be more common among larger, more successful companies that might be paying higher wages, which can lead to larger wage losses after outsourcing and thus to an overestimate of the wage loss for the general population. On the other hand, jobs that are outsourced in the

²⁹Note that this also suggests that outsourcing did not have positive effects for the workers who stayed behind, as one could imagine if outsourcing makes the firm more profitable, potentially increasing the potential for rent sharing. Since the on-site outsourcing events in our analysis are very small relative to total employment (on average around 3 percent), we likely do not have enough power to detect such positive effects for the stayers.

circumstances identified by on-site outsourcing may be subject to agreements between work councils/unions and the outsourcing employer regarding the wages of the outsourced workers, making it possible that we are underestimating the true effect of outsourcing on wages.³⁰

To obtain a broader estimate of the effect of outsourcing on wages, we estimate the wage differentials for outsourced workers in FCSL occupations, where outsourcing is defined based on workers' occupation and industry codes following the method in Dube and Kaplan (2010).³¹ Food, cleaning, security and logistics services workers are identified by their 3-digit occupation codes. Outsourced workers are those who are employed at service contractors, i.e., establishments whose main business is providing services to other firms, identified by their industry codes, while non-outsourced workers are those who are employed in-house at non business service firms. We take the universe of workers in FCSL occupations and estimate an equation of the form:

$$\ln(w_{it}) = \gamma Outsourced_{it} + \alpha_i + \theta_t + x'_{it}\beta + \epsilon_{it} \quad (3)$$

where w_{it} is the daily wage of worker i in year t , $Outsourced_{it}$ is an indicator variable that takes a value of one if the worker is employed at a business services firm in time t and zero otherwise, θ_t account for year-level shocks that affect all workers, and individual fixed effects α_i control for fixed and x_{it} for time varying individual characteristics.³² Using this method, we identify the impact of outsourcing on wages using the movement of FCSL workers between outsourced and non-outsourced status, rather than through the timing of outsourcing as in the on-site outsourcing analyses. For the main specifications we do not include FCSL workers working for temp agencies, but instead provide separate results of the effect of working for a temp agency.

Results are shown in the bottom panel of Table 2. We find that workers in FCSL occupations employed in business services firms have wages that are about 9% lower than

³⁰In some cases, outsourcing events are subject to a law (§613aBGB) that restricts wage cuts after selling parts of a company. We found many legal cases regarding the applicability of this law and several guides by business associations that provide advise on how to avoid the law when outsourcing parts of the workforce.

³¹Dube and Kaplan (2010) restricted their analysis to janitors and security guards; we additionally analyze the effect of outsourcing on workers in food and logistics occupations. The approach in Dube and Kaplan is in turn based on Abraham (1990). While both use CPS data, Abraham did not control for selection, while Dube and Kaplan use the short panel structure of the CPS to estimate specifications with individual fixed effects and thus control, in part, for selection into outsourcing.

³²We control for age, age squared and age cubed, all interacted with education dummy variables. Controlling for full-time status or dropping part-time workers makes little difference in the results. In the food regressions, we omit workers employed by restaurants and cafes because they would not be considered outsourced nor to be providing services to a firm, since food services is the firm's main business. In addition, while the logistics, cleaning and security regressions use all years of data from 1975 to 2008, food regressions start in 1999 since before then, industry codes did not differentiate between canteens, catering and restaurants.

non-outsourced workers in the same occupations. Cleaning workers face the largest losses from being outsourced, a deficit of 17%, while security workers face a 12% loss. Food and logistics workers at business services firms have wages that are about 3% lower than those employed in-house. In the last column, we estimate the wage effect of working for a temp agency. For this regression, we include only individuals who have worked in the same occupation in a temp agency as well as in other industries.³³ Working for a temp agency is associated with a 26% wage penalty, the largest of any type of business service firm, however these larger losses may be due to the more volatile nature of hours at temp agencies.

While the Dube-Kaplan approach likely covers the effect of outsourcing more generally and offers higher external validity than the on-site outsourcing estimates, the downside is that there is more potential for selection into who becomes an outsourced worker. While individual fixed effects control for permanent differences between workers, it may be that workers work for business service firms after some kind of shock, such as a protracted unemployment spell associated with human capital depreciation and loss in earnings potential. This could lead to downward biases in the wage estimates. In addition, in this type of estimation we have no information about job or workplace characteristics.³⁴ To the extent that job characteristics are worse at business service firms, this could lead to an underestimate of the true loss in compensation or utility. It is therefore reassuring that despite the differences in methodology and sample, the two approaches yield very similar results.

4 Outsourcing and Firm Rents

The wage losses associated with outsourcing that we found in the previous section are hard to reconcile with labor markets being fully competitive. Instead, these wage losses suggest that firms are constrained in their wage setting process that make it difficult to cut wages to workers in these occupations while they are employed in-house. For example, firms may be required to pay higher wages to all employees because of collective bargaining agreements, which are typically set at the industry level in Germany. Alternatively, firms may pay efficiency wages to some workers for various reasons. For example, at larger firms workers may be hard to monitor, and so higher wages may discourage shirking. At firms that employ a large number of high-skilled, high-wage workers, lower-skilled workers may receive a wage premium in the interest of fairness or equity. Outsourcing provides a way for firms to get

³³Instead of individual fixed effects, here we control for individual-occupation fixed effects.

³⁴Berlinski (2008) uses the Contingent Workers and Alternative Employment Arrangements supplement to the CPS, which contains information on industry of assignment for workers employed by contract firms, and thus can estimate the effect of outsourcing on wages controlling in part for job conditions. However, because his data is not a panel, he cannot control for selection into outsourcing and the sample contains fewer than 100 outsourced workers.

around these constraints: by moving these jobs outside the boundary of the firm, they can be excluded from receiving these wage premia or rents. In this section we show that outsourcing is indeed associated with a loss of firm rents, and provide pieces of evidence suggesting that firms may outsource to avoid paying establishment level wage premia.

4.1 Estimating the Loss in Firm Rents Using AKM Decomposition

We follow Abowd et al. (1999) and CHK and estimate a full worker - establishment fixed effect decomposition using the universe of social security data in Germany:

$$\ln(w_{it}) = \psi_{J(i,t)} + \alpha_i + \theta_t + x'_{it}\beta + \epsilon_{it}, \quad (4)$$

where $\psi_{J(i,t)}$ represents a vector of establishment fixed effects, α_i a vector of individual fixed effects and θ_t and $X_{it}\beta$ are year effects and time varying observables. We closely follow CHK in the estimation of this model.³⁵ First, we impute wages above the social security maximum in Germany using their algorithm. Like CHK, we estimate the model on all fulltime male workers, but rather than breaking the data up into different periods, we pool the entire time period 1979 to 2009, which covers around 480 million observations.³⁶ The establishment and worker fixed effects are only separately identified within a connected set of establishments that are linked through workers moving between them.³⁷ We therefore restrict our analysis to this largest connected group, which in our data covers around 90 percent of observations. Identification of the AKM model requires that workers do not move across establishments in a way that is systematically related to individual productivity shocks or trends. The underlying assumptions are discussed in detail in CHK, who provide various tests suggesting

³⁵Estimating the model is computationally challenging even on modern computers. To make this more manageable we only run the estimation on the subset of individuals who switch employers, which provides unbiased estimates with a negligible loss in efficiency (see CHK). This allows us to calculate the establishment fixed effects which can then be used to calculate person and establishment effects for the whole sample. Even then, we estimate that around 200GB or RAM would be necessary to estimate this using a conjugent gradient algorithm like a2reg, which is not available to us at the research data center of the IAB. Instead we used the Stata tool gpreg (written by us), based on Guimaraes and Portugal (2010), which is a slow but much more memory efficient algorithm.

³⁶Breaking the sample up into separate pieces has obvious computational advantages, but also allows establishments to have different fixed effects in different years. Since for some of our analysis in the next sections we are interested in following workers over time after outsourcing, we want to have AKM effects that are comparable across all periods, otherwise there would be large jumps at the transitions from one period to the next. In practice this does not make a significant difference to our results. For the same reason we estimate the AKM model including the earlier years from 1979 onwards. As Dustmann et al. (2009) and others note, there was a change in the way bonuses were recorded in the IEB in 1984. We found that this did not affect our estimates of the AKM decomposition (where we control for year dummies in any case). When we did the same analysis excluding the years prior to 1985 the results were virtually identical.

³⁷In the on-site outsourcing sample all observations are in the connected group, which is not surprising since at baseline all workers are employed at relatively large establishments.

that these assumptions are justified.

The estimated establishment fixed effect - which we refer to as the “AKM effect” - provides a measure of the wage premium paid by each establishment. As a first test whether the AKM decomposition provides a useful measure of wage premia for the group of workers we are interested in, we estimated the AKM model separately, once excluding FCSL workers and once only using FCSL workers. After correcting for measurement error, we found that the AKM effect estimated for FCSL workers is very highly correlated with the AKM effect estimated for all other workers. On average, if an establishment pays around 1 percent higher wages to non-FCSL workers than other establishments, it also pays around 0.8 percent higher wages to FCSL workers than other establishments.³⁸

The fact that even FCSL workers, who are on average much lower wage workers than non-FCSL workers, are paid a large wage premium of similar level as non-FCSL workers is quite striking. In particular when we estimate the AKM model on all workers, FCSL workers have on average individual effects that are 19 log points lower than non-FCSL workers.³⁹ This suggests that firms do pay wage premia across the board and may find it difficult to exclude low skill workers from these premia if they are employed directly by the firm. In the following analysis we will use AKM effects estimated by pooling FCSL and non-FCSL workers, which will increase the precision of the estimates (relative to using only FCSL workers). Given the high correspondence between AKM effects for FCSL workers and non-FCSL workers, these joint AKM effects provide a good and relatively precisely measured proxy for the rents workers receive at individual establishments.

4.2 Firm Rents of Workers at Business Service Firms

Having obtained a measure of firm rents from the AKM model in form of the estimated establishment effects, we can now investigate how these rents differ for in-house workers and outsourced workers at business service firms. We first explored whether the estimates from the AKM model are consistent with our estimates of wage losses associated with on-site outsourcing events by investigating how the average AKM effect for workers change after outsourcing. Figure 6 (a) shows that while prior to the outsourcing event, both the outsourced and the control group are employed at establishments with very similar AKM effects, the average AKM effect falls by almost exactly 10 log points for the outsourced group after they are outsourced. This corresponds almost precisely to the long-term wage losses for outsourced workers from the previous section.

³⁸See Appendix Figure A-8 and the associated notes for an explanation.

³⁹This is similar to the analysis in Card et al. (2016a) contrasting AKM effects estimated separately for men and women using Portuguese data.

Of course the flow of outsourced workers is part of the variation that identifies the AKM effect in the first place, but the AKM effect relies on a lot more information since it incorporates all worker transitions during the existence of an establishment, including many workers in occupations that are never outsourced. In some ways the fact that the loss in AKM effects is so similar to the wage losses we find, can be viewed as confirmation of the AKM model, since arguably the variation underlying the wage losses after outsourcing is better understood and more exogenous. Either way, the fact that the loss in AKM effects are so similar suggests that the AKM model provides a useful framework for estimating the rents that are likely lost during outsourcing, a fact that will be very helpful in the next section when we explore the relationship between outsourcing and the general wage structure.

If the loss of firm rents is indeed the primary driver of wage losses for outsourced jobs, then one would expect, that outsourcing events at high rent would lead to larger drops in wages. Figure 6 (b) shows the effects of outsourcing separately for establishments in the bottom (1st) and top (4th) quartiles of the AKM effects distribution (within the matched establishments). Jobs outsourced by establishments in the bottom quartile experience smaller wage losses in every year. For example, 5 years after outsourcing, jobs outsourced from the low AKM effect establishments experienced wages about 8% lower relative to year -1 and compared to the comparison group, while those from the highest AKM effect establishments had losses of about 11%.⁴⁰

We can also use the AKM effects to estimate the difference in rents when workers move from in-house to outsourced jobs and vice versa. To do so we estimated equation (3) with the estimated establishment fixed effects $\hat{\psi}_{J(i,t)}$ as the dependent variable (See Appendix Table A-10). If we do so without worker fixed effects (but with basic age and education controls), this yields the average difference in rents between outsourced and in-house workers conditional on basic demographics. Overall, outsourced FCSL workers have AKM effects that are about 12 log points lower than FCSL workers who in-house. When we include individual fixed effects we obtain the difference in AKM effects between establishments where workers are actually moving between outsourced and non-outsourced jobs. Here the loss in AKM effect for workers at BSFs is slightly smaller with about a 7 log point difference, with losses ranging from 20 log points for security workers to 4 log points for logistics workers.

⁴⁰We also found that workers who get outsourced from high AKM firms experience significantly larger drops in the AKM effect of their employer after outsourcing. For example logistics workers outsourced from establishments in the highest quartile of AKM distribution lose around 14 log points, while those from the lowest only around 2. Similarly for cleaning workers, the highest quartile losses are around 3.5 times the size of the lowest quartile losses. See Appendix Figure A-11 for more details. Furthermore we show in Appendix Figure A-10 that workers outsourced from larger establishments or establishments with higher average wages experience larger wage losses.

4.3 Firm Rents and the Decision to Outsource

If the wage losses associated with outsourcing are in fact due to workers being excluded from rents, then this could be a reason for firms to outsource. While fully analyzing what drives the firm decision to outsource is beyond the scope of the paper, we can test whether firms that would have more to gain in terms of wage savings due to outsourcing are more likely to outsource.⁴¹ Since on-site outsourcing is a very rare event and since we believe most outsourcing is done by laying-off workers and directly bringing in a business service firm, we create a more general definition of outsourcing to increase power. We define a 'general' cleaning outsourcing event as the occurrence of an establishment losing the last of its cleaning workers, conditional on having at least 5 workers in cleaning occupations in the last 5 years and on not downsizing by more than 50 percent.⁴² Analogously for food, logistics and security services. This captures situations where an establishment lays off all of its workers providing a particular service, either in one large layoff event or over a few years.⁴³

Using this definition, we estimate linear probability models of whether establishment characteristics typically associated with higher firm rents are associated with outsourcing. In these regressions, observations are at the establishment-year level, and the dependent variable takes a value of 1 if the establishment experienced either an on-site outsourcing event or a generalized outsourcing in the following year, and zero otherwise. The sample is restricted to establishments with at least 50 employees and excludes East Germany prior to 1997. We control for state, year and industry fixed effects.

Table 3 columns (1) through (4) show the results using 4 different right hand side variables as proxies for firm rents. Larger establishments and those with a higher estimated AKM effect are more likely to outsource, while the coefficient on log average establishment wage is positive but insignificant. The wage premium paid to FCSL workers - calculated as the average wage paid to workers in FCSL occupations at the establishment divided by the average wage paid to FCSL workers employed at business service or temp firms in the same

⁴¹For a general discussion of why firms choose to outsource see for example Abraham and Taylor (1996), Houseman (2001), or Berlingieri (2015).

⁴²More specifically, an establishment is said to have outsourced in time t if: it does not employ any workers in cleaning occupations in time t ; it employed at least 1 cleaning worker in time $t - 1$, and at some point in the last 5 years it employed at least 5 such workers; the establishment had at least 50 employees in time $t - 1$, and its size did not shrink by 50% or more between time $t - 1$ and t ; and the establishment is not in an industry associated with cleaning. We also only keep the earliest instance of cleaning outsourcing for any establishment.

⁴³This definition may be best suited for cleaning, logistics and security outsourcing, which are services that an establishment is likely to need whether they hire the workers directly or contract these services from another provider. Food services are less clear - when a firm lays off its cooks and waiters, it may be that these services are being provided by an outside vendor, or that the firm has decided to close down the cafeteria altogether. Here we include food outsourcing events, but excluding them does not affect the results.

county and year - is also positive and significant, indicating that those establishments that may save more money in wages by outsourcing their FCSL to a business service firm are likely to do so.

To obtain additional proxies for firm rents, we augment our data with information from the IAB Establishment Panel Survey, an annual survey of approximately 16,000 employers that has taken place since 1993. Column (5) shows that establishments covered by collective bargaining agreements are also more likely to outsource FCSL workers, conditional on year, industry and state. Finally, column (6) shows that establishments that responded that they paid wages above the levels set by collective bargaining agreements were also more likely to outsource. While one should be cautious to interpret this evidence as causal, we view Table 3 as suggestive that excluding low wage workers from firm rents is part of the motivation underlying outsourcing decisions.

While it is plausible that firms outsource in order to avoid paying rents, this does not explain why outsourcing has increased over time. A possible reason is that new business service firms have been entering the market competing for contracts. As the environment became more competitive between business service firms, this may have increased the pressure to lower prices and made outsourcing more attractive. Indeed Figure 7 (a) shows that the AKM effects of new BSF establishments have been falling substantially over time, with the newest entrants paying much lower wage premia compared to both the earlier cohorts of BSF and non-BSF establishments. Figure 7 (b) on the other hand illustrates how the market for BSF has become increasingly competitive over time, by plotting the average county-level market concentration herfindahl index for business service firms by year.⁴⁴ For cleaning and security, BSF competition on the local level has increased markedly, which may have driven down prices and wages. For food services we have a much shorter time series, but competition has increased as well, while logistics BSFs, market concentration has always been relatively low, likely because this is a more heterogeneous sector.

5 The Effects of Outsourcing on the Employment and Wage Structure

Germany experienced a substantial increase in wage inequality over the past decades, comparable in magnitude to the changes in the US labor market (see Autor et al., 2008; Dustmann et al., 2009). This has been partly due to a considerable decline in real wages at the lower end of the wage distribution Dustmann et al. (2014). Furthermore, CHK showed that a large share of the increase was driven by increased dispersion of establishment wage premia - as measured by the AKM effect - as well as stronger assortative matching between workers

⁴⁴Firms should typically report all workers within the same county under a single establishment identifier so we can compute market concentration on the county level but unfortunately not on any higher level.

and firms.⁴⁵ In this section we explore the extent to which outsourcing may have been a contributor to these broad changes in the wage structure.

5.1 Decoupling of Wages in Labor Services

Dustmann et al. (2014) document a dramatic decline of real wages at the lower end of the wage distribution since the early 2000s. After a decade of stagnation between 1990 to 2000, real wages at the 15th percentile fell by around 10 percent between 2000 and 2008. This pattern is also apparent among the occupations we study in this paper: Figure 8 (a) shows the evolution of real wages in cleaning, security and logistics (CSL) occupations from 1975 to 2009.⁴⁶ Real wages in CSL occupations moved in tandem with wages in other occupations until around 1990. Even for cleaners, where mean wages were 50 log points lower during the early period, wages grew at approximately the same rate as for the other occupations. This pattern changed from around 1990 onward, when wage growth for CSL occupations decoupled from the general wage evolution: While wage growth began to slow across all occupations since 1990 and stagnated over the past 10 years, real wages in CSL occupations declined by a 20 log points over the past 20 years. Note, that this decoupling occurred at the same time as the general rise in outsourcing shown in Figure 2.

To provide further evidence that outsourcing is part of the explanation for this decoupling, Figure 8 (b) shows wages for outsourced and non-outsourced workers in CSL occupations as well as for other occupations. Both outsourced and non-outsourced wages move in parallel with the general wage evolution until 1990. From 1990 onward CSL wages diverge, but more so for outsourced jobs. This is consistent with the explanation that outsourcing allowed firms to indirectly cut wages for labor services by a substantial amount. The fact that wages for non-outsourced CSL workers also fell may be in part a selection effect, where (as we showed in the previous section) the establishments with the highest wage premia were most likely to outsource, and in part due to the threat of outsourcing allowing employers to cut wages to non-outsourced workers.

The role of establishment wage premia for CSL wages is illustrated in Figure 8 (c), which shows the AKM effects for CSL and other occupations. AKM effects for cleaning and security workers are around 7 log points lower in 1975 compared to the other occupations, but move in parallel until 1990, when they begin to rapidly fall until the gap is more than 20 log points

⁴⁵Barth et al. (2016) show that rising wage dispersion between establishments also plays an important role in explaining the rise in wage dispersion in the U.S.. Using a similar approach to the one pursued here and in Card et al. (2013), Song et al. (2016) show that while the distribution of firm effects appears to have remained fairly stable over the past decades, assortative matching of high wage workers to high wage firms has increased substantially.

⁴⁶Since we can only identify food business service firms in the industry codes since 1999, we do not separate out food workers for this part of the analysis.

in 2008. For logistics workers the pattern is even more striking, since until 1990 the AKM effects for logistics workers were essentially identical to average AKM effects in the general population but then began to diverge sharply during the 1990s and 2000s to a gap of around 15 log points. In other words, while in the 1970s and 1980s logistics workers were employed in firms that paid the average wage premium in the economy, by the late 2000s they were employed in firms paying around 15 percent lower wages.

Figure 8 (d) shows the evolution of AKM effects broken up by outsourcing status. While outsourced workers in CSL occupations always worked at establishments that paid significantly lower wage premia, the differential remained roughly constant until 1990. From 1990 onward outsourced workers are working at increasingly worse firms, with wage premia declining by almost 15 log points. Non-outsourced workers are also losing wage premia relative to the general population, with a gap of close to 10 log points by 2008. This suggests that, consistent with the results in section 4, the firms that are not outsourcing are those that are already paying very low wage premia and therefore face weaker incentives to outsource.

5.2 Changes in the Employment Structure

CHK documented that workers are increasingly concentrated in establishments with homogenous workforces.⁴⁷ Outsourcing provides a natural explanation for this, since business service firms are much more homogenous (for example, in the typical cleaning BSF about 60% of the employees are cleaners). Moving workers from heterogeneous lead employers to BSFs that employ largely the same occupations as the outsourced worker will increase the overall occupational assortativeness. To gauge the possible impact of outsourcing of CSL workers on structure of employment, we simulate for each establishment in Germany a counterfactual number of workers in CSL occupations based on the distribution of CSL workers across industry by establishment size (net of CSL workers) cells in 1975. So for example if a car manufacturing establishment with 100 non-CSL workers in 1975 had 12 workers in CSL workers then we assign the same number of CSL workers to establishments in the same cell in future years.⁴⁸ Figure 9 (a) shows the the occupational concentration across establishments over time. We use the average Herfindahl index across establishments, which measures the probability that two randomly chosen workers from the same establishment have the same (1-digit) occupation. Occupations are much more concentrated across establishments over

⁴⁷This is only briefly discussed in the published paper. More details are provided in the NBER working paper version.

⁴⁸Note for the simulation we do not just assign the expected number of CSL workers based on size and industry cell and then compute the index. Instead we calculate the Herfindahl index for all values of numbers of CSL workers of an establishment and then compute the expected index for each establishment using the emirical distribution from 1975, otherwise the index would be mechanically downward biased. See Online Appendix for details.

time. Moreover the simulation suggests that more than half of that increase can be explained by outsourcing of CSL workers over the time period.

Similar to other countries like the US (Charles et al.), Germany has experienced a massive decline in manufacturing employment over the past decades. However, this could partly be driven by changes in the employment structure where increasingly jobs that used to be done in-house (and thus would count as manufacturing sector jobs) are now provided by business service firms (and thus count as service sector employment). Figure 9 (b) shows the actual and counterfactual evolution of manufacturing employment in West Germany. Manufacturing employment declined from 7.5 million to just over 5 million workers between 1975 and 2008, as similar decline as in the US. Our simulation suggests that if the distribution of CSL workers across establishments had not changes, then an additional 250,000 workers would be employed in manufacturing, which explains about 11.2 percent (3.4 percentage points) of the overall decline of 30.4 percent.

5.3 The Contribution of Outsourcing to the Rise in Wage Dispersion

The main findings in CHK were that dispersion in AKM effects and assortative matching between person and AKM effect increased substantially over the past two decades, accounting for the lion's share of the increase in wage dispersion. Our results from the previous sections suggest that outsourcing may explain these developments in several ways.

On the one hand, outsourcing changes the allocation of workers across establishments, with outsourced workers moving to establishments at the lower end of the AKM effects distribution. Since workers are moving from throughout the distribution to the bottom, this will lead to an increase in the dispersion of the *employment weighted* AKM effects distribution. Furthermore since these tend to be low wage workers, this will also lead to concentration of low person fixed effects workers in firms at the bottom of the AKM distribution, increasing assortative matching. On the other hand, while this can occur even if the unweighted distribution of AKM effects remains constant, there are reasons to assume that outsourcing affected the wage premia of establishments directly. First, if rents arise from profit sharing, then outsourcing would lead to profits being shared among fewer workers, and AKM effects may rise for the non-outsourced workers. Second, if within-firm wage inequality is constrained due to collective bargaining or efficiency wage/fairness considerations, then after a firm outsources these constraints may be loosened and wages may also rise for the non-outsourced workers. Third, the creation of new business service firms who likely pay low or no wage premia corresponds to the entry of new very low AKM effect firms, thus spreading out the AKM distribution. And finally, outsourcing may shift bargaining power away from workers toward firms, reducing wage premia in firms where outsourcing is a threat.

To illustrate the extent to which outsourcing contributes to the changes in the wage structure, we follow the variance decomposition proposed in CHK based on the AKM decomposition in equation (4):

$$Var(\ln(w_{it})) = Var(\psi_{J(i,t)}) + Var(\alpha_i) + 2Cov(\theta_i, \psi_{J(i,t)}) + Var(\varepsilon_{it}) \quad (5)$$

The variance of log daily wages can thus be expressed into the variance of the establishment effect ($\psi_{J(i,t)}$), the variance of the individual effect (α_i) and the covariance between the two.⁴⁹ We focus on the wage distribution for fulltime male workers in West Germany here, since labor force participation in this group remained relatively stable and working parttime is less prevalent, thus reducing selection concerns. This also allows for easier comparison with the existing literature. Results for women are provided in the Web Appendix. Table 4 Panel A shows that the variance of log daily wages increased by around 0.073 from 1985 to 2008, around one third of which was due to the increase in the variance in establishment effects and slightly less than half of it due to the increase in the covariance term.⁵⁰

We construct a counterfactual distribution of log wages and AKM effects, where we reweight workers in CSL occupations so that CSL workers are kept at constant locations of the AKM distribution. For example, if in 1985, x percent of CSL workers were in the 90th percentile of the AKM distribution, we reweight CSL worker in 2008 so that x percent are in the 90th percentile at that time as well. To construct these counterfactual weights, we use the reweighting method of DiNardo et al. (1996), where the conditioning variables are indicators for the deciles of the AKM distribution interacted with a dummy for being in a CSL occupation.⁵¹ The results of this reweighting exercise are shown in Figure 10. The solid line in panel (a) shows the variance of log wages by year for fulltime male workers excluding workers in food industries or occupations. This figure documents the dramatic increase in inequality since the 1990s. Panels (b) and (c) show the two components of this increase highlighted by CHK: the variance of the establishment effects and the covariance between person and establishment effect. The dashed line shows the reweighted distribution that

⁴⁹For the sake of brevity we ignore the components associated with time varying observables $X_{it}\beta$. As CHK showed these components play almost no role in explaining changes in wage dispersion over time.

⁵⁰Since the IEB started to include bonuses in the wage variable from 1985 onward (see Dustmann et al., 2009), the time series on wage dispersion are hard to compare before and after and exhibit jumps in 1985. This is less of an issue for our specific occupations but affects the variance and percentiles of the overall wage distribution. For this reason we follow the literature and use 1985 as the starting year for this analysis.

⁵¹There are several other ways one could construct such a counterfactual. One choice would be to simply keep the share of outsourced workers constant. However, since outsourced workers are typically displaced from high AKM firms, such a simple reweighting scheme would reweight the outsourced workers to the non-outsourced workers later in the sample who are at very low AKM firms. Thus this completely ignores the selection effect of who is outsourced. Our method, on the other hand, simply assumes that without outsourcing, the allocation of CSL workers across the AKM distribution would have remained unchanged.

holds the location of CSL workers in the AKM distribution constant at 1985 levels. Overall reweighting reduces the increase in the variance of wages by about 10 percent. As (b) and (c) show, reweighting reduces the variance and the covariance terms, consistent with our hypothesis that outsourcing contributed significantly to the increases in wage premia and assortative matching. Table 4 Panel B confirms this visual impression, showing that the DFL reweighting exercise can account for 9 percent of the rise in the variance of log wages, and similarly for the AKM effect and covariance term.

An alternate way to construct a counterfactual is to simply use the point estimate for the loss in AKM effects at outsourcing, around 10 log points, and add it back to the AKM effects of workers who are outsourced on top of the outsourcing level in 1985. As Table 4 Panel C shows, this leads to qualitatively similar results. The downside of this procedure is that it does not account for the fact that the composition of non-outsourced workers has changed dramatically: even the non-outsourced CSL workers are now working at much worse establishments, likely because the high-paying establishments were the first to outsource.

While we prefer the reweighting procedure, even this method is likely just a lower bound of the impact of outsourcing on the wage structure. First, this exercise is holding the (unweighted) distribution of AKM effects constant. As described above, there are good reasons to assume that outsourcing may have affected the AKM effects of establishments directly. These equilibrium adjustments of wage premia are important, but modeling them would require a more structural approach beyond the scope of this paper. Second, our analysis only uses CSL occupations, and outsourcing occurred for other low skill labor services as well that we do not capture here.

One would expect that the effects of outsourcing on wage dispersion is concentrated in the lower half of the wage distribution, especially when focusing on relatively low wage workers.⁵² Figure 10 (d) shows the 85th, 50th, and 15th percentiles of the log wage distribution (normalized to 0 in 1985). The figure shows that while outsourcing had no effect at the top of the wage distribution, it lowered both the median and the 15th percentile substantially, contributing to the erosion of wages at the bottom. Table 4 shows similarly that outsourcing - based on the reweighting exercise - can account for about 6.7 percent of the increase in the 85-15 gap, with a larger effect on the 50-15 gap. When we do the same analysis for women (see Web Appendix Table A-11), we find that outsourcing explains around 7 percent of the increase in inequality, which is consistent with CSL workers only making up around 6 percent of employment among women.

⁵²Outsourcing of higher skilled jobs could certainly impact the wage distributions at other percentiles.

6 Conclusion

The labor market has seen a fundamental restructuring in recent decades, with lead employers increasingly contracting out parts of their non-core labor force. We document the trend toward increasing reliance on outsourcing for Germany, with a marked acceleration in the late 1990s. While we focus on a subgroup of low wage labor services where domestic outsourcing can be measured comparatively well (food, cleaning, security and logistics services), anecdotal evidence suggests that this is a widespread phenomenon affecting many types of labor services and occupations, such as human resources, IT, call centers, and legal services.

This reorganization of the production structure changes the employment relationship for a large share of the workforce. As more workers end up employed by specialized business service firms, they find themselves working for firms that provide narrow products and compete fiercely with similar firms for contracts with lead companies. This creates pressure to reduce costs and lower wages, which make up a large share of input costs among such business service providers. It also drastically changes the bargaining environment, as the price competition among business service firms makes it difficult for outsourced workers to bargain for a share of the firm rents at the lead company. In this paper, we provide estimates of how this translates into lower wages for outsourced workers, and we find that across a range of measures, outsourcing reduces wages by around 10 percent.

It is difficult to know why firms decide to outsource. Our evidence is suggestive that exclusion from rents is part of the motivation, but there are many other reasons that are likely important, such as the comparative advantage of business service firms in their specialty or cost savings through economies of scale. It is even more difficult to know what is driving the long-term increase in outsourcing. Changes in management philosophy (e.g., a move toward shareholder value in the 1980s and 1990s) may be of similar importance as the development of new technologies that facilitate contracting out.⁵³ Understanding this is beyond the scope of this project but a fruitful area for future research.

Finally, it should be noted that the welfare implications of increased outsourcing are not straightforward. While increases in outsourcing seem to have contributed to the rise in wage inequality in Germany, outsourcing also made the provision of these kinds of labor services more efficient and might have contributed to overall economic growth and possibly the improved performance of the German economy over the past decade. The general equilibrium effects might have decreased unemployment and even increased average welfare, while at the same time having hurt the workers who were directly affected.

⁵³As an indication that outsourcing may simply not have been on the radar of managers and consulting firms, Appendix Figure A-16 shows the frequency of the term “outsourcing” in the Google books database. The term outsourcing only appears starting in the 1990s, coinciding with the rise of outsourcing in Germany.

References

- Abowd, John M., Francis Kramarz, and David N. Margolis, “High Wage Workers and High Wage Firms,” *Econometrica*, 67 (2), March (1999), 251–334.
- Abraham, Katharine G, “Restructuring the employment relationship: The growth of market-mediated work arrangements,” *New developments in the labor market: Toward a new institutional paradigm*, 85 (1990).
- and Susan K Taylor, “Firms’ Use of Outside Contractors: Theory and Evidence,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, (1996), 394–424.
- Akerlof, George A and Janet L Yellen, “The fair wage-effort hypothesis and unemployment,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 105 (2), (1990), 255–283.
- Autor, David H, “Outsourcing at will: The contribution of unjust dismissal doctrine to the growth of employment outsourcing,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 21 (1), (2003), 1–42.
- , Lawrence F Katz, and Melissa S Kearney, “Trends in US wage inequality: Revising the revisionists,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 90 (2), (2008), 300–323.
- Barth, Erling, Alex Bryson, James C. Davis, and Richard Freeman, “It’s Where You Work: Increases in the Dispersion of Earnings across Establishments and Individuals in the United States,” Technical Report S2 (2016).
- Berlingieri, Giuseppe, “Outsourcing and the rise in services,” (2013).
- , “Managing Export Complexity: the Role of Service Outsourcing,” (2015).
- Berlinski, Samuel, “Wages and contracting out: does the Law of one price hold?,” *British Journal of Industrial Relations*, 46 (1), (2008), 59–75.
- Bernhardt, Annette, Rosemary Batt, Susan N Houseman, and Eileen Appelbaum, “Domestic Outsourcing in the United States: A Research Agenda to Assess Trends and Effects on Job Quality,” (2016).
- Card, David, Alexandre Mas, Enrico Moretti, and Emmanuel Saez, “Inequality at Work: The Effect of Peer Salaries on Job Satisfaction,” *American Economic Review*, 102 (6), (2012), 2981–3003.
- , Ana Rute Cardoso, and Patrick Kline, “Bargaining, Sorting, and the Gender Wage Gap: Quantifying the Impact of Firms on the Relative Pay of Women,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 131 (2), (2016), 633–686.
- , —, Jörg Heining, and Patrick Kline, “Firms and Labor Market Inequality: Evidence and Some Theory,” (2016).
- , Jörg Heining, and Patrick Kline, “Workplace Heterogeneity and the Rise of West German Wage Inequality*,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, (2013).

- , Thomas Lemieux, and W Craig Riddell, “Unions and wage inequality,” *Journal of Labor Research*, 25 (4), (2004), 519–559.
- Charles, Kerwin Kofi, Erik Hurst, and Matthew J Notowidigdo, “The Masking of Declining Manufacturing Employment by the Housing Bubble,” Technical Report, Journal of Economics Perspectives (forthcoming).
- Dey, Matthew, Susan Houseman, and Anne Polivka, “What Do We Know About Contracting Out in the United States? Evidence from Household and Establishment Surveys,” in “Labor in the New Economy” NBER Chapters, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc, October (2010), pp. 267–304.
- Dietz, Miranda, Peter Hall, and Ken Jacobs, “Course Correction: Reversing Wage Erosion to Restore Good Jobs at American Airports,” *UC Berkeley Center for Labor Research and Education*, (2013).
- DiNardo, John and David S Lee, “Economic impacts of new unionization on private sector employers: 1984–2001,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 119 (4), (2004), 1383–1441.
- , Nicole M Fortin, and Thomas Lemieux, “Labor Market Institutions and the Distribution of Wages, 1973–1992: A Semiparametric Approach,” *Econometrica*, 64 (5), (1996), 1001–1044.
- Doellgast, Virginia and Ian Greer, “Vertical Disintegration and the Disorganization of German Industrial Relations,” *British Journal of Industrial Relations*, 45 (1), (2007), 55–76.
- Dube, Arindrajit and Ethan Kaplan, “Does outsourcing reduce wages in the low-wage service occupations? Evidence from janitors and guards,” *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, (2010), 287–306.
- Dunlop, John, “The task of contemporary wage theory/Taylor G., Pierson F. New concepts in wage determination,” *New York: McGraw-Hill*, (1957), 3–27.
- Dustmann, Christian, Bernd Fitzenberger, Uta Schönberg, and Alexandra Spitz-Oener, “From Sick Man of Europe to Economic Superstar: Germany’s Resurgent Economy,” *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 28 (1), (2014), 167–88.
- , Johannes Ludsteck, and Uta Schönberg, “Revisiting the German wage structure,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 124 (2), (2009), 843–881.
- Fitzenberger, Bernd, Karsten Kohn, and Alexander C Lembcke, “Union Diversity and Varieties of Coverage: The Anatomy of Union Wage Effects in Germany,” *ILRReview*, 66 (2013), 169.
- Gibbons, Robert and Lawrence Katz, “Does unmeasured ability explain inter-industry wage differentials?,” *The Review of Economic Studies*, 59 (3), (1992), 515–535.
- Groshen, Erica L, “Sources of intra-industry wage dispersion: How much do employers matter?,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, (1991), 869–884.

- Guimaraes, Paulo and Pedro Portugal, “A simple feasible procedure to fit models with high-dimensional fixed effects,” *Stata Journal*, 10 (4), (2010), 628.
- Harvard Committee on Employment and Contracting Policies, “Lower-Paid Workers at Harvard University,” Committee Report, Harvard University (2001).
- Hethey-Maier, Tanja and Johannes Schmieder, “Does the Use of Worker Flows Improve the Analysis of Establishment Turnover? Evidence from German Administrative Data,” *Journal of Applied Social Science Studies*, 133 (4), (2013), 477-510.
- Houseman, Susan N, “Why employers use flexible staffing arrangements: Evidence from an establishment survey,” *Industrial & Labor Relations Review*, 55 (1), (2001), 149–170.
- Kalleberg, Arne L, “Nonstandard employment relations: Part-time, temporary and contract work,” *Annual review of sociology*, (2000), 341–365.
- Krueger, Alan B and Lawrence H Summers, “Efficiency wages and the inter-industry wage structure,” *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, (1988), 259–293.
- Muendler, Marc-Andreas, James E Rauch, and Oana Tocoian, “Employee spinoffs and other entrants: Stylized facts from Brazil,” *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, 30 (5), (2012), 447–458.
- Oberschachtsiek, Dirk, Patrycja Scioch, Christian Seysen, and Jörg Heining, “Integrated employment biographies sample IEBS: handbook for the IEBS in the 2008 version,” Handbook, Institut für Arbeitsmarkt-und Berufsforschung (IAB), Nürnberg (2009).
- Rees, Albert, “The Role of Fairness in Wage Determination,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 11 (1), (1993), pp. 243-252.
- Segal, Lewis M and Daniel G Sullivan, “The growth of temporary services work,” *The Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 11 (2), (1997), 117–136.
- Smith Institute, “Outsourcing the cuts: Pay and employment effects of contracting out,” *Report* (2014).
- Song, Jae, David J Price, Fatih Guvenen, Nicholas Bloom, and Till Von Wachter, “Firming up inequality,” Technical Report, working paper (2016).
- Vitols, Katrin, “Reforming the German labour market: the case of temporary agency work,” *Competition and Change*, 8 (4), (2004), 375–389.
- Weil, David, *The Fissured Workplace: Why Work Became So Bad for So Many and What Can Be Done to Improve It*, Harvard University Press, (2014).

Table 1: Characteristics of Outsourced and Non-outsourced Workers

	Outsourced at t=-1	Matched Non-OS at t=-1	FCSL at BSF/Temp	FCSL not at BSF/Temp
Avg Establishment Daily Wage in Euro	78.83 (20.16)	77.42 (20.32)	53.65 (19.59)	74.49 (17.94)
Establishment Effect*	0.03 (0.14)	0.03 (0.15)	-0.14 (0.18)	0.02 (0.15)
Establishment Size	1,120.63 (2,416.86)	1,107.55 (3,207.42)	265.41 (385.18)	1,683.45 (5,204.99)
Real Daily Wage in Euro	69.93 (29.47)	69.96 (30.73)	51.07 (24.80)	63.71 (25.36)
Age in Years	42.29 (7.98)	43.63 (9.75)	40.25 (8.49)	41.87 (8.43)
Female	0.45	0.46	0.40	0.40
Years of Education	10.16 (1.17)	10.23 (1.34)	9.93 (1.06)	10.06 (0.89)
College Degree	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01
Living in West Germany	0.86	0.88	0.85	0.94
Working Fulltime	0.78	0.76	0.70	0.78
Tenure in Years	8.58 (5.80)	8.51 (6.32)	3.91 (3.83)	6.16 (5.29)
Food Occupation	0.21	0.21	0.05	0.14
Cleaning Occupation	0.11	0.11	0.41	0.24
Security Occupation	0.03	0.03	0.11	0.08
Logistics Occupation	0.34	0.34	0.42	0.53
Observations	21195	21195	6412854	35201181

Notes: Mean of each variable with standard deviation in parentheses. Columns 1-2 include On-Site Outsourced and matched Non-Outsourced workers age 25-55 with at least 2 years of tenure in year before outsourcing. Statistics calculated in year before outsourcing. Columns 3-4 include workers in food, cleaning, security and logistics occupations who are age 25-55 and employed at an establishment with 50 or more workers. Column 3 includes these workers who are employed at business services or temp firms, while column 4 includes these workers who are not employed at business service or temp firms. All columns exclude East Germany prior to 1997.

* The establishment effects are the predicted fixed effects from the AKM model described in section 4.1. The establishment effects are normalized to be equal to 0 in the sample of all workers from 1979-2009 (the period we use for the AKM model).

Table 2: The Effects of Outsourcing on Log Daily Wages

	All FCSL OS events & workers	Food	Cleaning	Security	Logistics	Temp	OS to new Estab.	OS to Existing Estab.
Panel A: Effect of On-site Outsourcing on Workers								
Post-OS short-run	-0.056*** (0.0048)	-0.048*** (0.0056)	-0.11*** (0.013)	-0.069*** (0.016)	-0.039*** (0.0058)	-0.15*** (0.019)	-0.043*** (0.0053)	-0.074*** (0.0083)
Post-OS long-run	-0.085*** (0.0077)	-0.087*** (0.010)	-0.12*** (0.019)	-0.10*** (0.021)	-0.066*** (0.010)	-0.16*** (0.019)	-0.080*** (0.0095)	-0.092*** (0.013)
Observations	517662	158971	73064	83574	202053	97538	305315	212347
Avg Outcome Var at t=-1	4.14	4.02	3.95	4	4.37	4.37	4.11	4.19
Panel B: Effect of On-site Outsourcing on Jobs								
Post-OS short-run	-0.054*** (0.0050)	-0.045*** (0.0049)	-0.10*** (0.013)	-0.072*** (0.019)	-0.035*** (0.0057)	-0.15*** (0.016)	-0.041*** (0.0056)	-0.073*** (0.0087)
Post-OS long-run	-0.097*** (0.0079)	-0.11*** (0.0093)	-0.12*** (0.018)	-0.14*** (0.024)	-0.059*** (0.011)	-0.16*** (0.023)	-0.090*** (0.0099)	-0.11*** (0.013)
Observations	429949	134005	61276	69976	164692	72854	259434	170515
Avg Outcome Var at t=-1	4.14	4.02	3.95	4	4.37	4.37	4.11	4.19
Panel C: Effects of working for Business Service Firm (Dube & Kaplan 2010 Measure)								
Working for Business Service Firm	-0.090*** (0.00064)	-0.036*** (0.0030)	-0.17*** (0.0015)	-0.12*** (0.0027)	-0.028*** (0.00064)	-0.26*** (0.00075)		
Observations	36234249	1455432	10703132	3373983	20701702	13084766		
OS Workers	1529268	45950	723294	204031	576039	629278		
Mean Outcome for OS workers	3.83	3.79	3.43	3.95	4.21	3.93		

Notes: (*p<.1, **p<.05, ***p<.01) Standard errors in Panel A and B are clustered at the level of the outsourcing establishment, in Panel C at the worker level. Panels A and B use matched sample of OS and non-OS workers. Panel B includes only workers who are at the same establishment as in time t=-1 in all years prior to outsourcing, and in the same establishment as in time t=0 in all years after outsourcing. Time periods are 5 yrs pre-OS; 4 yrs short-run; 6 yrs long-run. First column, for all outsourcing types, does not include workers outsourced to temp firms. All regressions include individual fixed effects and year dummies, and exclude East Germany before 1997. Panel C, column 1 includes only workers in food, cleaning, security or logistics occupations; columns 2-5 include only workers in the occupation indicated by the column heading. For food workers, the independent variable has a value of 1 if the worker is employed by a firm that provides food services to other companies (defined analogously for other occupations). Column 6 is restricted to individuals in any occupation who have worked in the same occupation at both a temp agency and in another industry; the independent variable has a value of 1 if the workers is employed by a temp agency. All regressions in Panel C control for individual fixed effects, year indicator variables, age, age squared and age cubed interacted with education dummies; samples are restricted to workers age 25-55, working at establishments with at least 50 workers, and excluding East Germany before 1997. Food workers employed at restaurants and hotels are omitted.

Table 3: The Effect of Proxies for Wage Premia on the Probability of Outsourcing

	All Establishments				Establishment Panel Sample	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Log Estab Size	0.0084*** (0.00016)					
Log Avg Estab Wage		0.00044 (0.00032)				
AKM Effect			0.0046*** (0.00057)			
Wage Premium to FSCL workers over BSF firms				0.0015*** (0.00026)		
Collective Agreement					0.0091*** (0.0013)	
Pay Wages Above Standard						0.0029** (0.0014)
Observations	2086507	2086505	1892408	1769077	68577	68595
Mean of Dep Var	.012	.012	.011	.014	.02	.02
Mean of Indep Var	4.788	4.285	.003	1.162	.81	.34


Notes: (*p<.1, **p<.05, ***p<.01) Standard errors, in parentheses, are clustered at the establishment level. All regressions exclude East Germany before 1997 and establishments with less than 50 workers. Columns 5-6 includes only establishments included in the IAB Establishment Panel Survey. All regressions control for state dummies, year dummies, and 3 digit industry fixed effects. Dependent variable = 1 if the establishment was involved in either a general outsourcing event or an on-site outsourcing event in the following year, and 0 otherwise. “Collective Agreement”=1 if the establishment responded that they were bound by a collective agreement. “Pay Wages Above Standard”=1 if the establishment responded that they pay salaries and wages above the collectively agreed scale. “Wage Premium to FSCL workers over BSF firms” is the ratio of the average wage paid to Food, Security, Cleaning and Logistics workers at the establishment to the average wage paid to Food, Security, Cleaning and Logistics workers employed by business services firms or temp agencies in the same county and year.

Table 4: The Evolution of the West German Wage Structure from 1985 to 2008 and the Role of Outsourcing

	Wage Structure 1985	Wage Structure 2008	Change from 1985 - 2008	Percent of Change explained by Counterfactual
Panel A: Observed				
Total Variance of Log Daily Wages	0.132	0.205	0.073	
Variance of Estab Effects	0.0289	0.0547	0.0258	
$2 \times \text{Cov}(\text{person, estab effect})$	-0.0050	0.0426	0.0475	
85-15 log wage percentile gap	0.655	0.934	0.279	
85-50 log wage percentile gap	0.385	0.512	0.127	
50-15 log wage percentile gap	0.270	0.422	0.152	
Panel B: Counterfactual I: DFL Reweighting of CSL Workers				
Total Variance of Log Daily Wages	0.132	0.198	0.067	8.9%
Variance of Estab Effects	0.0289	0.0525	0.0236	8.4%
$2 \times \text{Cov}(\text{person, estab effect})$	-0.0050	0.0381	0.0431	9.4%
85-15 log wage percentile gap	0.655	0.916	0.260	6.7%
85-50 log wage percentile gap	0.385	0.503	0.118	7.1%
50-15 log wage percentile gap	0.270	0.412	0.142	6.4%
Panel C: Counterfactual II: Adjusting Daily Wage and AKM Effect of Additional Outsourced Workers				
Total Variance of Log Daily Wages	0.132	0.200	0.068	7.1%
Variance of Estab Effects	0.0289	0.0518	0.0229	11.2%
$2 \times \text{Cov}(\text{person, estab effect})$	-0.0050	0.0408	0.0457	3.8%
85-15 log wage percentile gap	0.655	0.925	0.270	3.3%
85-50 log wage percentile gap	0.385	0.510	0.125	1.6%
50-15 log wage percentile gap	0.270	0.415	0.144	4.7%
Percent working in CLS occupations	0.127	0.138	0.011	
Percent outsourced	0.039	0.099	0.060	


Notes: Sample are all fulltime male workers in West Germany, excluding workers in food occupations or food industries. Panel A shows the observed wage structure in 1985 and 2008 as well as the estimated components due to the variance of establishment effects and the covariance of establishment with person effects. Panel B shows the counterfactual where workers in cleaning, security and logistics (CSL) occupations in 2008 are reweighted in order to keep them at the same percentiles of the AKM distribution as in 1985 using DFL reweighting (see text). Panel C shows the counterfactual where a random fraction of workers in CSL business service firms and temp agencies are 'insourced' in 2008 by adding 10 log points to their log wage and establishment effect. The fraction to be insourced is picked so that the fraction of outsourced workers remains at the 1985 level.

Figure 1: Share of Firms with any Food/Cleaning/Security/Logistics workers, by Industry




Notes: The Figure shows the fraction of West German establishments with at least 100 workers in 4 major industries (retail, manufacturing, finance and hospitals), who are employing at least 1 worker in the respective occupations (food, cleaning, security, driver or warehouse worker). The data covers 1975 to 2008 and in each year is based on the employee composition on June 30th.

Figure 2: Share of Workers employed by Business Service Firms and Temp Agencies over time




(a) Worker in all Occupations




(b) Workers in Food / Cleaning / Security / Logistics Occupations

Notes: The top figure shows the share of all fulltime workers in West Germany from 1975 to 2008 who are working in either a cleaning, security or logistics business service firm or for a temp agency. The bottom figure shows the share of workers in food, cleaning, security or logistics occupations who are employed in business service firms or temp agencies. For food occupations the time series in the bottom figure starts in 1999, since earlier industry codes did not differentiate between restaurants and food business services industries, such as canteens and catering. We also exclude food workers employed in the restaurant, hotel and air travel industries.

Figure 3: Frequency of On-site Outsourcing Events by Year




(a) Number of Outsourcing Establishments in East and West Germany



(b) Number of Outsourcing Establishments by Type of Outsourcing


Notes: The figure shows the number of on-site outsourcing events in Germany by year, where on-site outsourcing events are defined as groups of workers leaving large establishments and moving to business service firms. The top figure breaks this up by East and West Germany, while the bottom breaks it up by outsourcing type. Only the bottom figure includes outsourcing to temp agencies.

Figure 4: Employment Outcomes of Outsourced and Non-Outsourced Workers Before and After On-site Outsourcing




Notes: The figures follow two group of workers: the first is a group of workers who are outsourced between year $t=-1$ and $t=0$ (the first year at the new establishment), while the second group is a control group of non-outsourced workers. The control group was chosen by finding workers employed in the same industry and occupation with similar tenure and establishment size in the year prior to outsourcing, and have similar wages 2 and 3 years prior to outsourcing as the outsourced workers. The figures show average characteristics of the workers in the two groups before and after the outsourcing event. Sub-figure a), c) and d) show data from the unbalanced panels of workers in the outsourced and control group. Sub-figure b) restricts the data to a balanced panel of individuals observed in each year from 5 years before to 10 years after the outsourcing event.

Figure 5: Regression Estimates of the Effect of On-site Outsourcing on Log Daily Wages




Notes: The figures show regression estimates of the effects of being outsourced between $t=-1$ and $t=0$ (the first year at the new establishment) on log wages before and after the outsourcing event (see equation 2). The omitted category is year -1. The bands are 95 percent confidence intervals (SE clustered on the level of the outsourcing establishment). The regressions control for individual fixed effects and year dummies. The figures follow two group of workers: the first is a group of workers who are outsourced between year $t=-1$ and $t=0$, while the second group is a control group of non-outsourced workers. Panel a) shows results irrespective of whether they move to other establishments in later years. Panel b) restricts the sample to workers who are at the outsourced job, i.e. at the same establishment as in time $t=-1$ in all years before outsourcing, and in the same establishment as in time $t=1$ in all years after outsourcing. Panel c) shows results for alternative control variables in the matching algorithm and Panel d) uses a control group of workers at the outsourcing establishment who are not outsourced.

Figure 6: On-site Outsourcing and Establishment (AKM) Effects




(a) Evolution of AKM Effects Before and After Outsourcing




(b) Wage Losses by AKM Effect of Outsourcing Establishment (1st / bottom vs. 4th / top Quartile)

Notes: Panel a) shows the average estimated establishment (AKM) effect of the establishments where the workers in the outsourced and control groups are working before ($t=-1$) and after ($t=0$) the outsourcing event. The AKM effect is estimated from a wage regression including a full set of worker and establishment fixed effects using the universe of wage records for fulltime male workers in Germany. Panel b) shows regression estimates of the effects of being outsourced on log wages before and after the outsourcing event separately for workers who are outsourced from high and low AKM effect establishments. The bands are 95 percent confidence intervals (SE clustered on the level of the outsourcing establishment). The sample is restricted to workers who are at the same establishment as in time $t=-1$ in all years before outsourcing, and in the same establishment as in time $t=1$ in all years after outsourcing.

Figure 7: Market Entry of New Establishments of Business Service Firms over Time




(a) AKM Effects of New and Existing Establishments by Year



(b) Market Concentration of Business Service Firms by Year


Notes: The top figure shows the AKM effect (estimated over the entire duration of an establishments existence) of establishments by the year the establishment was founded (first appears in the data). The figure is restricted to establishments with at least 10 employees in West Germany 1976-2008. The bottom figure shows the average county level index of employment weighted market concentration among business service firms. The index can be interpreted as the probability that two randomly picked workers at business service firms in a particular year and county are working for the same firm. The data is restricted to West Germany 1975-2008.

Figure 8: Decoupling of Wages in Logistics, Cleaning and Security Occupations from General Wage Growth



(a) Evolution of Wages by Occupations

(b) Evolution of Wages by Outsourced Status




(c) Evolution of AKM effects by Occupations


(d) Evolution of AKM Effects by Outsourced Status

Notes: The figures show how wages in cleaning, security and logistics (CSL) occupations have evolved relative to wages in other occupations. Panel (a) shows the log wage for the different occupations. Panel (b) Shows how wages for CSL workers have evolved depending on whether they are outsourced or not, relative to workers in other (non-CSL) occupations. Panel (c) the establishment (AKM) effect by occupation, and panel (d) shows the AKM effects for CSL workers by outsourcing status and the AKM effects for all other occupations.

Figure 9: The Effect of Outsourcing of Cleaning, Security and Logistics (CSL) Workers on the Employment Structure




(a) Occ. Concentration, Actual and Simulated (without increase in Outsourcing of CSL workers)



(b) Manufacturing Employment, Actual and Simulated (without increase in Outsourcing of CSL workers)

Notes: The top figure shows the herfindahl index of occupational concentration in establishments with at least 50 employees. The index shows the probability that two randomly selected workers from the same establishment have the same (1-digit) occupation. The bottom figure shows total employment in West German manufacturing establishments. The simulated time series in both figures shows the counterfactual where we compute the expected number of CSL workers for each establishment under the assumption that the distribution of CSL workers (relative to non-CSL workers) did not change within establishment size and industry cells relative to 1975.

Figure 10: The Evolution of the West German Wage Structure for Men, Actual and DFL Reweighted



Notes: The figures shows how the variance of log daily wages and its components has evolved over time for fulltime male workers in West Germany. Panel (a) shows the variance of log wages, panel (b) shows the variance of the estimated establishment effect (AKM effect) over time, and panel (c) the covariance between establishment effects and the individual fixed effect. Panel (d) shows percentiles of the log wage distribution. The solid line is the actual evolution over time, while the dashed line shows the counterfactual evolution if outsourcing of cleaning, security and logistics workers had remained constant at the 1985 level, where the counterfactual is constructed using the reweighting method described in the text.