Capital Flow Waves—Or Ripples? Extreme Capital Flow Movements in an Era of Easy Monetary and Tight Regulatory Policy

Kristin Forbes MIT-Sloan School of Management, NBER & CEPR



AEA Annual Meetings January 2019

Capital Flow "Waves"

<u>Sharp movements</u> in international capital flows create substantial challenges

- "Sudden Stops", "Surges" or "Bonanzas"
- Calvo (1998), Calvo et al. (2004), Reinhart and Reinhart (2009)
- Forbes and Warnock (2012) suggest focusing on gross inflows and outflows by foreigners and domestic to understand the waves
- Forbes and Warnock (2019) updates underlying data and corresponding episodes of "extreme capital flows"
 - What has changed with tighter regulation & easier monetary policy?
 - New data and corresponding code posted online:
 - <u>https://mitmgmtfaculty.mit.edu/kjforbes/research/</u>

Forbes & Warnock Approach



Incidence of Surge Episodes: *Full Sample*



Incidence of Stop Episodes: Full Sample



Incidence of Flight Episodes: Full Sample



Incidence of Retrenchment Episodes: Full Sample



Incidence of Surge Episodes: Emerging Markets



Incidence of Stop Episodes: Emerging Markets





Incidence of Stop Episodes: Full Sample

	Full Sample			 Emerging Market		
	1985- 2009	2000- 2007	2010- 2018	1985- 2009	2000- 2007	2010- 2018
Surges	16%	21%	7%	14%	18%	9%
Stops	14%	9%	8%	13%	10%	11%
Flight	17%	22%	6%	17%	22%	7%
Retrenchment	13%	10%	7%	11%	9%	9%



Have the Drivers Changed?

Literature on global financial cycle and if it has changed

- Rey, (2013), Miranda-Agrippino & Rey (2015)
- Bruno & Shin (2015), Barrot and Serven (2017)
- Scheubel, Stracca and Tille (2019), Goldberg and Krogstrup (2018)
- Converse, Levy-Yeyati & Williams (2019)
- Avdjiev, Gambacorta, Goldberg & Schiaffi (2019)
- Scheubel et al. (2019)
- Shifting composition of capital flows
 - Shin (2013), Cerutti & Claessens (2014), Avdjiev et al. (2019)
- How bank characteristics affect vulnerability to liquidity shocks
 - Bruno & Shin (2015), Buch & Goldberg (2015)
- > Impact of regulations on international bank lending
 - Aiyar et al (2014), Forbes, Reinhardt & Wieledak (2017)



Estimate Drivers of Episodes

Forbes & Warnock (2012, 2019) estimate conditional probability of having a surge, stop, flight or retrenchment in a quarter

 $Prob(e_{it}=1) = F(\phi_t, \gamma_{it}, \alpha_{it})$

- *e_{it}* is dummy=1 for each episode (surge, stop, flight, retrenchment)
- ϕ_t : global factors
- γ_{it} : contagion variables
- α_{it} : domestic variables
- Estimation issue: cdf of F(.) is skewed (85% of episodes=0)
 - Use complimentary logarithmic estimator (cloglog) which assumes the cdf of F(.) is the extreme value distribution, F(z) = 1 exp [-exp(z)]
- Seemingly unrelated regression estimation to allow for crossepisode correlation in errors
 - Robust standard errors, clustered by country



Control Variables

Global variables:

- Global risk: VXO (log)
- Global liquidity (growth in global money supply in G3)
- Global interest rates; shadow short-term rate for US, Japan, Euro area & UK (Krippner's RBZ website)
- Global GDP growth (IMFs' WEO)
- Change in oil prices
- Regional contagion: episode in another country in same region

> Domestic variables

Domestic GDP growth



Episode Drivers: **Pre-Crisis (1980-2007)** Forbes and Warnock (2019)

	Surge	Stop	Flight	Retrench
Risk	-0.040**	0.029**	-0.041**	0.035**
	(0.010)	(0.011)	(0.008)	(0.013)
Liquidity	-0.006	-0.019	0.016	0.006
	(0.019)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.019)
Monetary	-0.001	0.114**	-0.036	0.082
Policy	(0.054)	(0.042)	(0.048)	(0.050)
Growth	0.225**	-0.085	0.157**	-0.250*
	(0.076)	(0.114)	(0.072)	(0.128)
Oil Prices	0.000	-0.007**	-0.005**	-0.007*
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.002)	(0.004)
Regional	0.565**	0.662**	0.211	0.399**
Contagion	(0.235)	(0.217)	(0.138)	(0.172)
Domestic	0.020**	-0.114**	-0.000	0.003
GDP Growth	(0.005)	(0.019)	(0.008)	(0.025)
Obs.	2,763	2,763	2,763	2,763

Episode Drivers: **Post-Crisis (2010-18)** Forbes and Warnock (2019)

	Surge	Stop	Flight	Retrench
Risk	-0.017	0.012	-0.037	-0.030
	(0.032)	(0.029)	(0.038)	(0.024)
Liquidity	-0.028	-0.011	0.046	0.058
	(0.055)	(0.049)	(0.046)	(0.043)
Monetary	0.054	0.249	-0.013	0.349
Policy	(0.161)	(0.176)	(0.210)	(0.214)
Growth	-0.074	0.004	0.244	0.095
	(0.187)	(0.211)	(0.235)	(0.286)
Oil Prices	0.009	-0.016**	0.005	-0.012*
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.007)
Regional	0.700*	0.441	0.420	0.387
Contagion	(0.398)	(0.393)	(0.310)	(0.351)
Domestic	0.093**	-0.029	-0.081	-0.079
GDP Growth	(0.033)	(0.048)	(0.053)	(0.059)
Obs.	1,632	1,632	1,632	1,632

Implications for Resilience

"Episodes" of extreme capital flow movements have calmed

- More modest improvement for sudden stops & EMs
- Caveat: short time period, unusual decade

Capital flow episodes driven less by global factors? Risk? Vix?

- **See yesterday's session, "Has he Global Financial Cycle Changed Since the Crisis?"
- Reduced role for VXO (Forbes, 2019; Miranda-Agrippino & Rey, 2019)
- Global Financial Cycle still important
 - Miranda-Agrippino & Rey (2019), Scheubel, Stracca & Tille (2019)
- Increased role of dollar? (Shin et al., 2019)
- Missing link? Have tighter financial regulations better insulated economies from global shocks?

Contraction in International Flows Driven by Bank Flows



Source: Based on data from Forbes and Warnock (2019)

New Results

- Do Sounder Banks Make Calmer Water? The Link Between Banking Regulations and Extreme Capital Flow Episodes
 - > Forbes (2020)
- Mixed evidence on impact of regulations on capital flow waves
 - Better capitalized banks \rightarrow fewer surges
 - Tighter macroprudential regulations \rightarrow less impact
 - Not sufficiently tightened?
 - Shifted financial intermediation and risks outside regulated sector?
 - Different effects on different types of capital flows
 - Supports evidence in Ahnert et al., 2019

Incidence of Stop Episodes: Bank Flows – Full Sample



Incidence of Stop Episodes: Debt Flows – Full Sample



Incidence of Stop Episodes: Debt Flows – Emerging Markets



Final Thoughts

- Important changes in global financial intermediation since 2008
- Beginning to document patterns, links and causes
- Some promising initial evidence:
 - Reduced incidence of sharp capital flow movements
 - Tighter bank regulations play some role
 - Weaker link with VIX --- but maybe not other measures of global financial cycle? Dollar?
- Important context: focus of reforms has been building resilience of financial system
 - Even if "waves" still exist, they should do less damage

