Technical Change and Superstar Effects: Evidence from the Rollout of Television

Felix Koenig

Carnegie Mellon University

December 29, 2020

Top Incomes and Superstar Effects

- Why are many labor markets becoming winner take all markets?
- Superstar effects are a leading explanation
- This paper tests the superstar theory in the textbook entertainment setting
 - Natural experiment from staggered local rollout of television



The Rise and Fall of Local Television Filming

Phase I: pre TV



pre 1941

Phase II: local TV



ca. 1941-1955

Phase III: National TV



1956 onwards

Rollout is unexpectedly interrupted



Impact of Television on Top Paid Entertainers

A. DiD with TV Stations



$$Y_{mot} = \alpha_m + \delta_{ot} + \gamma X_{mt} + \beta T V_{mt} \cdot D_t^{local} + \epsilon_{mot};$$

m: CZ, t: year, o: occupation

B. DiD with Placebo TV Stations

Distinctive Effects of Superstar Model

- Superstar effects generate top income growth, but so does a standard demand shift
- Additional predictions of superstar theory distinguish the model
- When does a superstar model differ from ordinary demand models?
 - Same as demand model in cross-section
 - Distinction: visible during "Scale Related Technical Change"

Empirical Evidence of Superstar Effects



Bin of US Wage Distribution





Conclusion

- Causal evidence that access to bigger markets leads to top income growth
 - TV filming increases wages at 99th percentile by 18%
- Superstar effect generates rising top income inequality
 - Growing fractal inequality
 - Falling demand for mediocre workers
- Magnitude of superstar effects is sizable
 - Elasticity of pay at 99th percentile to market size is 0.16
 - Causal effect explains two-thirds of correlation