

The Saving Behavior of Heterogeneous Households and Credit Constraints: A Decomposition

Maryam Aljahani

2021 ASSA Annual Meeting
Florida International University

January 3-5, 2021

- Numerous studies focus on the relationship between liquidity constraints and saving (Leland, 1968; Jappelli, 1990; Xu, 1995).
- Xu (1995) finds that liquidity constraints have a significant effect on household consumption and saving behavior.

This paper:

- Examines the role that credit constraints play in the saving decisions of households by focusing on a well-defined set of reasons for saving.
- Classifies saving motives as (1) precautionary saving (liquidity), (2) saving to finance investments, and (3) saving for retirement.
- Utilizes probit regressions using cross-sectional data from the Survey of Consumer Finances (SCF) to examine the effect of credit constraints on constrained and discouraged households' saving behavior.
- Employs quantile regression to estimate how credit constraints affect household wealth at different levels.

- Jappelli (1990) defines an agent as credit-constrained if:

$$C^* - Y - A(1 + r) > D$$

Which is equivalent to $S^* < Y - C^* \iff C < C^* \iff S < S^*$

- An agent is credit-unconstrained if:

$$S^* = Y - C^* \iff C = C^* \iff S = S^*$$

Assumptions

- 1 The interest rates are very low.
- 2 Credit constraints exogenously affect households' saving decisions.

- ① Credit constraints move cyclically with precautionary saving (liquidity) motive and counter cyclically with saving to finance investment motive for constrained households.
- ② Credit constraints negatively affect the wealth of constrained households.

- We use cross-sectional data from the 2016 Survey of Consumer Finances (SCF).
- We classify discouraged and constrained households.
- By discouraged households, we refer to households that perceive a high probability of loan denials, while constrained households are those whose credit applications are denied by financial institutions.

Table 1: Constrained, Discouraged & Unconstrained Households

| Households | (1) Observations | (2) Mean | (3) Standard Deviation |
|---------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Constrained | 2,975 | .095 | .29 |
| Discouraged | 2,741 | .088 | .28 |
| Unconstrained | 25,524 | .82 | .39 |
| Total | 31,240 | | |

Source: the data is downloaded from 2016 survey of Consumer Finances. Total number of respondents is 31,240

Table 2: Reasons for Saving

| Group of Households | (1) Constrained | (2) Discouraged | (3) Unconstrained |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Can not Save | .5 | .44 | .71 |
| Education | 10.95 | 11.64 | 6.29 |
| Family | 7.29 | 11.27 | 6.38 |
| Home | 7.93 | 6.09 | 2.91 |
| Purchase | 13.45 | 13.6 | 9.53 |
| Retirement | 24.74 | 18.75 | 36.24 |
| Liquidity/Future | 32.3 | 35.24 | 35.08 |
| Investment | 2.7 | 2.96 | 1.82 |
| No Particular Reason | .17 | 0 | 1.02 |
| Total | 2975 | 2741 | 25524 |

This table reports the motivation of households in 2016 survey of Consumer Finances data to save

Why Households are Constrained

Table 3: Reasons Why Households' Applications were Rejected or Discouraged to Apply for a Loan

| Reasons | (1) Rejected Applicants | (2) Discouraged Applicants |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Marital Status | 0 | .18 |
| Age | .168 | .18 |
| Race | 0 | .18 |
| Other Personal Characteristics | .37 | .18 |
| No Credit History | 14.55 | 7.7 |
| Credit Score | 30.29 | 29.73 |
| Credit Report | 18.76 | 14.63 |
| Not Enough assets | 3.23 | 2.33 |
| Amount of Debt | .6 | 9.16 |
| Credit References | 20.74 | 0 |
| Other Credit characteristics | .71 | .91 |
| Bad Credit | 7.13 | 10.07 |
| Time in Job | .67 | .55 |

Why Households are Constrained

Table 3: Reasons Why Households' Applications were Rejected or Discouraged to Apply for a Loan-Cont

| Reasons | (1) Rejected Applicants | (2) Discouraged Applicants |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Type of Job | .168 | .36 |
| Unemployed | .77 | .55 |
| Not Enough Income | 12.07 | 8.43 |
| Source of Income | .168 | .73 |
| Financial Characteristics | .50 | 0 |
| Not A member of Credit Union | 0 | .36 |
| Previous Experience | 0 | 4.93 |
| Strict Lending Requirements | .77 | .36 |
| The Loan is not eligible | 0 | .18 |
| Discrimination | 0 | .18 |
| Inconvenient | 0 | .40 |

2016 Survey of Consumer Finances.

Why Households are Constrained

Table 3: Reasons Why Households' Applications were Rejected or Discouraged to Apply for a Loan-Cont

| Reasons | (1) Rejected Applicants | (2) Discouraged Applicants |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Other | .87 | 1.28 |
| Not approved for a Loan purpose | .57 | 0 |
| Low Credit supply | .71 | 0 |
| Interest rate | 0 | .36 |
| Error in credit report | .94 | .36 |
| Characteristics of Collateral | .73 | 0 |
| No Reasons | 2.89 | 0 |
| Observations | 2975 | 2741 |

2016 Survey of Consumer Finances.

Why do Constrained & Discouraged Households Save?

- To classify saving motives for households, we apply the following probit model:

$$S_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \textit{Credit}_i + \alpha_2 X_i + \alpha_3 \textit{FR}_i + \epsilon_i$$

- S_i is a dummy variable indicating household saving decisions.
- \textit{Credit}_i is a dummy variable that indicates that a household's loan request was rejected by a lender.
- X_i represents demographic variables such as gender, age, race, number of children and marital status.
- \textit{FR}_i is a dummy variable indicating financially risk-averse households.
- ϵ_i is an error term.

Why do Constrained & Discouraged Households Save?

Table 4: Effect of Credit Constraints on Constrained & Discouraged Households Saving Decisions

| | Constrained | | | Discouraged | | |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| | Retirement | Liquidity | Investment | Retirement | Liquidity | Investment |
| Credit | -.08** (.04) | -.14** (.04) | .113 (.09) | -.12 (.06) | .115** (.06) | -.76** (.33) |
| Black | -.24*** (.04) | .09** (.04) | .22*** (.07) | -.24*** (.037) | .08** (.04) | .24*** (.07) |
| Age | .12*** (.004) | -.04*** (.004) | -.04*** (.01) | .04*** (.004) | .08* (.08) | -.04 (.008) |
| Age ² | -.001*** (.000) | -.00*** (.000) | 0.00*** (.000) | -.001*** (.000) | .0003 (.000) | 0.0003*** (.000) |
| College | .078*** (.02) | - 0.03 (.02) | 0.004 (.02) | 0.08*** (.05) | -.023 (.02) | -.002 (.05) |
| # of children | -.103*** (.01) | -.02** (.01) | -0.08*** (.03) | -.10*** (.01) | -.025** (.01) | -.074*** (.027) |
| Married | -.130*** (.03) | -.01** (.03) | .39*** (.06) | -.13*** (.03) | .07** (.03) | .4*** (.06) |
| Female | -.001 (.03) | -.4 (.03) | -.43*** (.08) | .001 (.04) | -.037 (.04) | -.43*** (.08) |
| Income | -.05*** (.007) | -.004 (.007) | .095*** (.014) | -.047** (.007) | -.002 (.007) | .093*** (.014) |
| Homeowner | .165*** (.03) | 0.03 (.05) | .36*** (.07) | .164*** (.03) | .04 (.03) | .24*** (.08) |
| Financial Averse | -.202*** (.02) | .06** (.03) | .14** (.06) | -.2 (.03) | .055** (.03) | .15*** (.057) |
| Constant | -2.68*** (.15) | .48*** (.13) | -2.8*** (.29) | -2.7*** (.15) | .44** (.14) | -2.77*** (.3) |
| Observations | 19406 | | | | | |

Robust standard errors in parentheses.*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

Effect of Credit Constraints on Constrained & Discouraged Households Wealth

We utilize quantile regression to examine the effect of credit constraints on the wealth of constrained and discouraged households.

$$W_i = \delta_0 + \delta_1 Credit_i + \delta_2 X_i + \epsilon_i$$

- W_i refers to wealth, which is the financial assets that are expressed in logarithm in this model.
- δ_0 is the constant term.
- $Credit_i$ is a dummy variable that indicates that a household's loan request was rejected by a lender.
- X_i represents demographic variables and ϵ_i is an error term.

Effect of Credit Constraints on Constrained & Discouraged Households Wealth

Following Amemiya (1982), to address potential endogeneity issue, we apply the Two-Stage Least Absolute Deviations (2SLAD) estimator. We utilize the credit score (CS) as an instrumental variable in this model as follows:

$$Credit_i = \pi_0 + \pi_1 CS_i + \pi_2 X_i + v_i$$

Then, we plug the estimated $Credit_i$ into the quantile model to estimate the effect of credit constrained on wealth of constrained and discouraged households as follow:

$$W_i = \delta_0 + \delta_1 \widehat{Credit}_i + \delta_2 X_i + \epsilon_i$$

Effect of Credit Constraints on Constrained & Discouraged Households Wealth

Table 5: Effect of Credit Constraints on Constrained and Discouraged Households Wealth-OLS & 2SLS Models

| | (Constrained) OLS | (Constrained) 2SLS | (Discouraged) OLS | (Discouraged) 2SLS |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Credit | -0.0575*** (0.00515) | -0.666*** (0.0931) | -0.356*** (0.0621) | -0.176 (0.241) |
| Black | -0.652*** (0.0419) | -0.619*** (0.0421) | -0.627*** (0.0418) | -0.638*** (0.0449) |
| Age | 0.123*** (0.00542) | 0.122*** (0.00546) | 0.122*** (0.00541) | 0.122*** (0.00543) |
| Age ² | -0.000648*** (4.87e-05) | -0.000628*** (4.91e-05) | -0.000623*** (4.87e-05) | -0.000619*** (4.89e-05) |
| # of children | -0.0179 (0.0127) | -0.0127 (0.0128) | -0.0173 (0.0128) | -0.0183 (0.0129) |
| College | 1.370*** (0.0246) | 1.346*** (0.0249) | 1.363*** (0.0247) | 1.365*** (0.0248) |
| Married | -0.475*** (0.0395) | -0.448*** (0.0395) | -0.451*** (0.0396) | -0.454*** (0.0397) |
| Homeowner | 0.653*** (0.0331) | 0.594*** (0.0333) | 0.618*** (0.0329) | 0.626*** (0.0346) |
| Female | -0.434*** (0.0443) | -0.457*** (0.0444) | -0.441*** (0.0443) | -0.443*** (0.0444) |
| Financial Risk averse | -1.033*** (0.0307) | -1.025*** (0.0303) | -1.018*** (0.0305) | -1.022*** (0.0305) |
| Constant | 7.902*** (0.156) | 7.803*** (0.157) | 7.740*** (0.155) | 7.740*** (0.155) |
| Observations | 19,623 | 19,623 | 19,623 | 19,623 |
| R-squared | 0.393 | 0.392 | 0.390 | 0.390 |

Robust standard errors in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Effect of Credit Constraints on Constrained & Discouraged Households Wealth

Table 6: Effect of Credit Constraints on Constrained & Discouraged Households Wealth-Quantile Model

| | Constrained | | | Discouraged | | |
|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | Q.25 | Q.50 | Q.75 | Q.25 | Q.50 | .75 |
| Credit | -.446*** (.046) | -.3975*** (.041) | -.440*** (.079) | -.271*** (.060) | -.289** (.113) | -.095 (.084) |
| Black | -.505*** (.033) | -.447*** (.053) | -.615*** (.047) | -.509*** (.053) | -.460*** (.054) | -.622*** (.057) |
| Age | .0978*** (.005) | .1188*** (.006) | .138*** (.008) | .093*** (.006) | .117*** (.005) | .1446*** (.009) |
| Age ² | -.0005 (.00004) | -.0006*** (.00005) | -.0007*** (.00007) | -.0005*** (.00005) | -.0006*** (.00005) | -.0007*** (.0008) |
| College | 1.073*** (.018) | 1.286*** (.024) | 1.489*** (.041) | 1.083*** (.018) | 1.299*** (.0269) | 1.506*** (.032) |
| # of Children | -.041*** (.015) | .0007 (.009) | .00028 (.017) | -.047** (.019) | -.0102 (.013) | -.003 (.025) |
| Married | -.311*** (.033) | -.521*** (.044) | -.561*** (.047) | -.358*** (.045) | -.487*** (.044) | -.542*** (.045) |
| Homeowner | .433*** (.026) | .475*** (.042) | .678*** (.075) | .463*** (.044) | .504*** (.042) | .715*** (.044) |
| Female | -.429*** (.039) | -.4223*** (.049) | -.501*** (.058) | -.358*** (.0497) | -.461 (.049) | -.519 (.058) |
| Financial Averse | -.872*** (.030) | -.9759*** (.030) | -1.018*** (.051) | -.855*** (.034) | -.942*** (.027) | -.994*** (.033) |
| Constant | 7.657*** (.163) | 7.84*** (.189) | 8.085 (.252) | 7.81*** (.179) | 7.81*** (.155) | 7.788*** (.230) |
| Observations | 19623 | | | | | |

Standard error in parenthesis *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Effect of Credit Constraints on Constrained & Discouraged Households Wealth

Table 7: Effect of Credit Constraints on Constrained & Discouraged Households Wealth-2SLAD Model

| | Constrained | | | Discouraged | | |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | Q.25 | Q.50 | Q.75 | Q.25 | Q.50 | Q.75 |
| Credit | -.382*** (.07) | -.349*** (.073) | -.775*** (.139) | -.541* (.297) | .709*** (.163) | -.277 (.246) |
| Black | -.49*** (.046) | -.463*** (.053) | -.564*** (.047) | -.502*** (.05) | -.546*** (.051) | -.618*** (.032) |
| Age | .0925*** (.005) | .119*** (.005) | .145*** (.009) | .095*** (.005) | .113*** (.006) | .145*** (.009) |
| Age ² | -.0005*** (.00005) | -.0006*** (.00004) | -0.0008*** (.00001) | 0.00049*** (.00005) | -.0005*** (.00006) | -0.0007*** (.00008) |
| College | 1.073*** (.021) | 1.28*** (.040) | 1.488*** (.036) | 1.08*** (.022) | 1.30*** (.031) | .41*** (.03) |
| # of Children | -.048*** (.011) | -.004 (.012) | .0095 (.027) | -.050*** (.012) | -.013 (.008) | -.0005 (.022) |
| Married | -.354*** (.052) | -.495*** (.066) | -.442*** (.045) | -.348*** (.045) | -.516*** (.042) | -.546*** (.066) |
| Homeowner | .446*** (.042) | .487*** (.049) | .6377*** (.058) | .451*** (.027) | .523*** (.038) | .693*** (.069) |
| Female | -.390*** (.055) | -.438*** (.076) | -.452*** (.068) | -.373*** (.051) | -.444*** (.052) | -.511*** (.034) |
| Financial Averse | -.8545*** (.030) | -.962*** (.032) | -1.03*** (.051) | -.848*** (.034) | -.959*** (.024) | -.999*** (.043) |
| Constant | 7.85*** (.174) | 7.79*** (.159) | 7.91*** (.276) | 7.75*** (.152) | 7.87*** (.190) | 7.8*** (.267) |
| Observations | 19623 | | | | | |

Standard error in parenthesis *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

- Constrained households are less likely to save for retirement and for precautionary saving (liquidity) purposes.
- Discouraged households are more likely to save for precautionary saving (liquidity) purpose and less likely to save for investment purpose.
- The gap between the targeted and actual saving level negatively affects the ability of constrained households to accumulate wealth.
- The results of this study indicate that researchers should account for credit constraints when modeling household saving behavior.

malja013@fiu.edu