

### Motivation

- Climate change makes transitioning towards a more sustainable and greener future a first-order challenge
- Transition relies, at least to some degree, on regulatory intervention  $\rightarrow$  Firms face regulatory risks related to climate change
- No complete understanding of how firms' regulatory risks affect **banks' lending decisions**
- Yet, **banks' response** is **key** for transition: setting incentives and providing funding

## The set-up in a nutshell

**Research question:** How does firms' climate change-related regulatory risks affect credit reallocation?

**Research design:** DiD set-up using the Paris Agreement as a shock that raised banks' awareness of transition risks (Bolton Kacperczyk, JFE, 2021; Krueger et al., RFS, 2020)

**Laboratory:** Syndicated loan market between 2009 and 2019

**Data feature:** Firms' exposure to climate-change related regulatory risks (constructed by Sauther et al. (2020))  $\rightarrow$  allows to identify

- Firms that could be **negatively** impacted by regulatory intervention, e.g., due to increased operating or input costs (negatively exposed firms)
- Firms that could **benefit** from regulatory intervention, e.g., due to subsidies (*positively exposed firms*)

### Hypotheses

### Negatively exposed firms

H1: Banks lend less due to increased awareness about negative impact of regulatory intervention on firm outcomes

H2: Banks lend more for two potential but contrasting reasons: Creaming off the market **or** supporting transition of firms that have strategy/ potential to adapt business model

### Positively exposed firms

H1: Banks lend more due to increased awareness about positive impact of regulatory intervention on firm outcomes

H2: Banks lend less as existing barriers to green finance are still too high

# **Climate Change-Related Regulatory Risks and Bank Lending**

Isabella Mueller and Eleonora Sfrappini

Halle Institute for Economic Research (IWH) | isabella.mueller@iwh-halle.de

# Summary

**Research question:** How does climate change-related regulatory risks affect credit reallocation?

**Results:** Our findings identify large heterogeneity depending on firms' regulatory risks, their location, and banks' indirect exposure to firms' risks.

**Contribution:** This work helps understand the impact of banks' credit reallocation on the transition towards a greener economy.

## Identification strategy

We employ a DiD to identify how banks' adjust credit sup- ply after Paris Agreement while allowing effect to differ w.r.t. firms' exposure:	We sui I+
$y_{b,f,t} = \beta_1 \text{Positive}_f \times \text{Post}_t + \beta_2 \text{Negative}_f \times \text{Post}_t + \zeta_{b,f} + \zeta_{j,l,s,t} + \zeta_{b,t} + \varepsilon_{b,f,t}.$ (1)	ne rea
<ul> <li>y<sub>b,f,t</sub>: Log credit between bank b and firm f in quarter t</li> <li>Post<sub>t</sub> = 1 from 2015q4 onwards (= after Paris Agreement)</li> <li>Positive<sub>f</sub> = 1 if firm f is positively exposed over pre-shock period, and 0 otherwise</li> <li>Negative<sub>f</sub> = 1 if firm f is negatively exposed over</li> </ul>	fo fo firm H tic firm
<ul> <li>pre-shock period, and 0 otherwise</li> <li>Extensive FE structure to isolate loan supply: firms'</li> </ul>	

- industry-location-size-time  $(\zeta_{j,l,s,t})$  (Degryse et al., JFI, 2019); bank-time  $(\zeta_{b,t})$ , bank-firm  $(\zeta_{b,f})$
- $\rightarrow \beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  capture changes in lending to positively/negatively exp. firms compared to firms with zero exposure

### Results: Regional heterogeneity

Dividing the sample depending on the location of the borrower uncovers different lending volumes across regions:

	Ċ
USA	Europe
-0.094	0.519***
(0.126)	(0.114)
0.176***	0.055
(0.060)	(0.112)
162,394	93,805
Yes	Yes
96	148
$1,\!637$	295
Bank	Bank
	USA -0.094 (0.126) 0.176*** (0.060) 162,394 Yes 96 1,637 Bank

- USA: Banks lend more to negatively exp. firms
- Europe: Banks lend more to positively exp. firms
- Magnitudes (17.6% and 51.9%) are economically large

# Differential role of banks' exposure

'e evaluate the role of banks' **own, albeit indirect** expore to firms' regulatory risks via banks' loan portfolio.

might lead **banks with a portfolio tilted towards** egatively exposed firms to face different incentives when allocating credit:

**1:** These banks, in particular, may **diversify their portblio** by lending more (less) to positively (negatively) exposed  $\mathrm{ms}$ 

**2:** These banks, in particular, may **protect legacy posions** by lending more (less) to negatively (positively) exposed  $\mathrm{ms}$ 

	USA	Europe
Positive $\times$ Post	-0.111	0.507***
	(0.134)	(0.113)
Positive $\times$ Post $\times$ NegBank	119.980	10.244
	(108.050)	(18.535)
Negative $\times$ Post	$0.176^{***}$	0.029
	(0.060)	(0.106)
Negative $\times$ Post $\times$ NegBank	-3.912	25.324***
	(9.188)	(9.639)
Observations	162,394	93,805
All FE	Yes	Yes
Adjusted $R^2$	0.890	0.906
Number of banks	96	148
Number of firms	$1,\!637$	295
Clustering	Bank	Bank

• **USA:** Banks' exposure does not play a differential role • **Europe:** The more negatively exposed a bank is, the more it lends to negatively exposed firms. Banks at the 90th percentile of the distribution lend 42% more toward negatively exposed firms.

# Does banks' behavior fuel or hinder the transition?

Results leave room for interpretation how banks' behavior interacts with transition. We provide further evidence by considering:

- USA:

# $\rightarrow$ Credit reallocation seems to hinder transition **Europe:**

### $\rightarrow$ Credit reallocation seems to facilitate transition but banks' exposure is an obstructing factor

# What is driving banks' behaviour?

Our analysis so far left open what is driving banks' behavior. We investigate two channels:

# preferences?

 $\rightarrow$  The risk channel appears to dominate the preference channel

 $\rightarrow$  Albeit, the way how this works differs between USA and Europe



• The type of firms towards which credit is directed • The type of banks reallocating credit differently

• Credit is **not** directed towards firms that have higher potential to adapt business model

• Banks with high incentive to exploit profit opportunities lend even more to negatively exposed firms

• Banks lend more to negatively exposed firms that have higher potential to adapt business model

• **Negatively exposed banks** lend even more firms that have lower potential to adapt business model

**Preferences:** Is banks' behavior driven by a shift in their

Risks: Is banks' behavior driven by increased awareness about the financial risks associated with regulation related to climate change?

