Do Investors Overreact to Managerial Tones

Wei Wang Indiana University



Research Question

Qualitative: Are investors overconfident on the public information?

Quantitative: If so, how much of market reaction is attributable to this overconfidence?



- Qualitative: Are investors overconfident on the public information?
- Quantitative: If so, how much of market reaction is attributable to this overconfidence?



Overconfidence: An overestimation of the precision of one's information [??].

Example 1 (discrete time): An investor receives an unbiased and noisy signal *s* about the asset's fundamental value, *v*. The true distribution of *s* is $N(v, \sigma_s^2)$. Under the belief of an overconfident investor, *s* follows a normal distribution of $N(v, \sigma_o^2)$ and $\sigma_o^2 < \sigma_s^2$



- Overconfidence: An overestimation of the precision of one's information [??].
- Example 1 (discrete time): An investor receives an unbiased and noisy signal *s* about the asset's fundamental value, *v*. The true distribution of *s* is *N*(*v*, σ_s²). Under the belief of an overconfident investor, *s* follows a normal distribution of *N*(*v*, σ_o²) and σ_o² < σ_s²



Motivation

- Overconfidence is an important psychological bias in behavioral asset pricing and macro-economics literature
 - Long-run reversal
 - Stock markets have bubbles that boom and burst
 - Market "overreaction" to news and disclosure
 - Investors sentiments
- Research on how investors form their subject beliefs is gaining more attention (challenges on rationality assumption)
 - use survey data for macro series
 - use analysts estimate for firms
- What remains unanswered: Does overconfidence really exist for a representative investor? how to estimate the overconfidence?
 - There are many papers providing indirect evidence
 - Survey data are usually unavailable for equity investors.
 - Only a few firms have analysts.



Motivation

- Overconfidence is an important psychological bias in behavioral asset pricing and macro-economics literature
 - Long-run reversal
 - Stock markets have bubbles that boom and burst
 - Market "overreaction" to news and disclosure
 - Investors sentiments
- Research on how investors form their subject beliefs is gaining more attention (challenges on rationality assumption)
 - use survey data for macro series
 - use analysts estimate for firms
- What remains unanswered: Does overconfidence really exist for a representative investor? how to estimate the overconfidence?
 - There are many papers providing indirect evidence
 - Survey data are usually unavailable for equity investors
 - Only a few firms have analysts.



Motivation

- Overconfidence is an important psychological bias in behavioral asset pricing and macro-economics literature
 - Long-run reversal
 - Stock markets have bubbles that boom and burst
 - Market "overreaction" to news and disclosure
 - Investors sentiments
- Research on how investors form their subject beliefs is gaining more attention (challenges on rationality assumption)
 - use survey data for macro series
 - use analysts estimate for firms
- What remains unanswered: Does overconfidence really exist for a representative investor? how to estimate the overconfidence?
 - There are many papers providing indirect evidence
 - Survey data are usually unavailable for equity investors.
 - Only a few firms have analysts.



Results

- Using LDA for topic analysis, I come up with a new way to estimate investors' overconfidence by comparing market reactions to different information
- Using SP500 firms, I find no evidence of the existence of overconfidence

Contribution

- There is no paper discussing how to quantify this overconfidence without survey data. This paper contributes to this blank
- Provide novel evidence that may induce another round of debates.



Results

- Using LDA for topic analysis, I come up with a new way to estimate investors' overconfidence by comparing market reactions to different information
- Using SP500 firms, I find no evidence of the existence of overconfidence
- Contribution
 - There is no paper discussing how to quantify this overconfidence without survey data. This paper contributes to this blank
 - Provide novel evidence that may induce another round of debates.



Road Map

- A Toy Model
- An Empirical Model for Estimation
- Preliminary Evidence
- Conclusion



A Toy Model

Model setup

- There is one asset in the market
- 2 The fundamental value is v, which is unobservable until being revealed
- There is one unit mass of representative investors in the market.
- Investors' prior belief on v is normally distributed, $N(\theta_0, \sigma_v^2)$
- Investors will receive an unbiased and noisy signal s = ν + ε, where the true distribution of noise is ε ~ N(0, σ_ε²).
- Investors' subjective belief on the noise follows $\varepsilon^C \sim N(0, \sigma_C^2)$

Sequence of Events

- At period 0, investors receive the noisy signal, form a posterior belief, and trade on that belief with an intermediate price P₁
- 2 At period 1, the asset pays liquidating dividends



A Toy Model

Model setup

- There is one asset in the market
- 2 The fundamental value is v, which is unobservable until being revealed
- There is one unit mass of representative investors in the market.
- Investors' prior belief on v is normally distributed, $N(\theta_0, \sigma_v^2)$
- Investors will receive an unbiased and noisy signal s = v + ε, where the true distribution of noise is ε ~ N(0, σ_ε²).
- Investors' subjective belief on the noise follows $\varepsilon^C \sim N(0, \sigma_C^2)$

Sequence of Events

- At period 0, investors receive the noisy signal, form a posterior belief, and trade on that belief with an intermediate price P₁
- At period 1, the asset pays liquidating dividends



- Intermediate Price: $P_1 = \frac{1/\sigma_v^2}{1/\sigma_v^2 + 1/\sigma_c^2} \theta_0 + \frac{\lambda/\sigma_\varepsilon^2}{1/\sigma_v^2 + \lambda/\sigma_c^2} s$ where $\lambda \equiv \frac{\sigma_\varepsilon^2}{\sigma_c^2}$.
- Observation 1: As λ → ∞, P₁ → s. I use overconfidence price v^o to refer to the posterior belief on the value when investors think signals are completely precise.
- Observation 2: If $\lambda = 1$, P_1 equals to the posterior mean of an investor using rational Bayesian updating scheme. I use the v^* to the posterior belief on the value when investors are not overconfidence.
- Observation 3: We can express the intermediate price as a weighted average of P_1^* and s, i.e., $P_1 = (1 \chi)v^* + \chi v^o$ where $\chi = \frac{\lambda 1}{\frac{\sigma_c^2}{\sigma_c^2} + \lambda}$
- The market reaction to v* or v^o depends on the level of overconfidence



- Intermediate Price: $P_1 = \frac{1/\sigma_v^2}{1/\sigma_v^2 + 1/\sigma_c^2} \theta_0 + \frac{\lambda/\sigma_\varepsilon^2}{1/\sigma_v^2 + \lambda/\sigma_c^2} s$ where $\lambda \equiv \frac{\sigma_\varepsilon^2}{\sigma_c^2}$.
- Observation 1: As λ → ∞, P₁ → s. I use overconfidence price v^o to refer to the posterior belief on the value when investors think signals are completely precise.
- Observation 2: If $\lambda = 1$, P_1 equals to the posterior mean of an investor using rational Bayesian updating scheme. I use the v^* to the posterior belief on the value when investors are not overconfidence.
- Observation 3: We can express the intermediate price as a weighted average of P_1^* and s, i.e., $P_1 = (1 \chi)v^* + \chi v^o$ where $\chi = \frac{\lambda 1}{\frac{\sigma_c^2}{\sigma_c^2} + \lambda}$
- The market reaction to v^{*} or v^o depends on the level of overconfidence



- Intermediate Price: $P_1 = \frac{1/\sigma_v^2}{1/\sigma_v^2 + 1/\sigma_c^2} \theta_0 + \frac{\lambda/\sigma_\epsilon^2}{1/\sigma_v^2 + \lambda/\sigma_c^2} s$ where $\lambda \equiv \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{\sigma_c^2}$.
- Observation 1: As λ → ∞, P₁ → s. I use overconfidence price v^o to refer to the posterior belief on the value when investors think signals are completely precise.
- Observation 2: If $\lambda = 1$, P_1 equals to the posterior mean of an investor using rational Bayesian updating scheme. I use the v^* to the posterior belief on the value when investors are not overconfidence.
- Observation 3: We can express the intermediate price as a weighted average of P_1^* and s, i.e., $P_1 = (1 \chi)v^* + \chi v^o$ where $\chi = \frac{\lambda 1}{\frac{\sigma_c^2}{\sigma_c^2} + \lambda}$
- The market reaction to v^{*} or v^o depends on the level of overconfidence



- Intermediate Price: $P_1 = \frac{1/\sigma_v^2}{1/\sigma_v^2 + 1/\sigma_c^2} \theta_0 + \frac{\lambda/\sigma_\epsilon^2}{1/\sigma_v^2 + \lambda/\sigma_c^2} s$ where $\lambda \equiv \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{\sigma_c^2}$.
- Observation 1: As λ → ∞, P₁ → s. I use overconfidence price v^o to refer to the posterior belief on the value when investors think signals are completely precise.
- Observation 2: If $\lambda = 1$, P_1 equals to the posterior mean of an investor using rational Bayesian updating scheme. I use the v^* to the posterior belief on the value when investors are not overconfidence.
- Observation 3: We can express the intermediate price as a weighted average of P_1^* and *s*, i.e., $P_1 = (1 \chi)v^* + \chi v^o$ where $\chi = \frac{\lambda 1}{\frac{\sigma_c^2}{\sigma^2} + \lambda}$
- The market reaction to v^* or v^o depends on the level of overconfidence



- Intermediate Price: $P_1 = \frac{1/\sigma_v^2}{1/\sigma_v^2 + 1/\sigma_c^2} \theta_0 + \frac{\lambda/\sigma_\epsilon^2}{1/\sigma_v^2 + \lambda/\sigma_c^2} s$ where $\lambda \equiv \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{\sigma_c^2}$.
- Observation 1: As λ → ∞, P₁ → s. I use overconfidence price v^o to refer to the posterior belief on the value when investors think signals are completely precise.
- Observation 2: If $\lambda = 1$, P_1 equals to the posterior mean of an investor using rational Bayesian updating scheme. I use the v^* to the posterior belief on the value when investors are not overconfidence.
- Observation 3: We can express the intermediate price as a weighted average of P_1^* and *s*, i.e., $P_1 = (1 \chi)v^* + \chi v^o$ where $\chi = \frac{\lambda 1}{\frac{\sigma_c^2}{\sigma_c^2} + \lambda}$
- The market reaction to v* or v^o depends on the level of overconfidence



To quantify χ , there are many complexities of identification and calibration

- (signal selection) In the real world, there are many signals about different dimensions of firms' fundamental values. But there are very few that are unbiased about fundamental values directly
- **②** Without good methods to calibrate σ_v and σ_{ε} , χ cannot be accurately identified.
- Solution: starting from signals that can be measured accurately.



Extension to Multifactor Models

Motivation: We want to incorporate factors that are easy to measure in the data

- Multifactor fundamental value: $v = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i f_i$. where the prior belief on $f_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$
- More signals: s_i , follows $s_i = a_i + b_i f_i + \varepsilon_i$, where $\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon,i}^2)$ is the noise term and independent of all other variables, including factors and other noises.
- Investors: there are a unit measure of atomistic informed traders submitting orders
 - ► The information set is the same for all investors, $\mathscr{I} = \{(w_i)_{i=1}^N, (s_i)_{i=1}^N, (\mu_i)_{i=1}^N, (\sigma_i^2)_{i=1}^N, (\sigma_{\varepsilon,i}^2)_{i=1}^N\}.$
 - ► They are bounded-rational (or partially-overconfident), i.e., when they form posterior beliefs, they will use the weighted average: $E[v|\mathscr{I}] = (1 - \chi)v^* + \chi v^o$



Equilibrium:

- The informed investor will submit orders x by maximizing the profits given the stock price p: $\max_{x} E[(v-p)x \frac{m}{2}x^2|\mathscr{I}]$ where m captures the trading costs
- ② There will be noisy trades, $u \sim N(0, \sigma_u)$
- Solution The market clearing requires $\int_i x_i di = u$



Extension to Multifactor Models

Motivation: We want to incorporate factors that are easy to measure in the data

Theorem

If the representative investor has an overconfidence level χ , the equilibrium stock price will be

$$p = \chi \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} \underbrace{\frac{s_{i} - a_{i}}{b_{i}}}_{Original \ signal} + (1 - \chi) \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} \underbrace{\frac{1}{1/\sigma_{i}^{2} + b_{i}^{2}/\sigma_{\varepsilon,i}^{2}} \left[\frac{b_{i}^{2}}{\sigma_{\varepsilon,i}^{2}} \frac{s_{i} - a_{i}}{b_{i}} + \frac{1}{\sigma_{i}^{2}} \mu_{i}\right]}_{Corrected \ signal} + m$$

$$(1)$$



Let's move from price to return level. Our regression model will be:

$$r_t = \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{w}_i [\tilde{s}_i + k \tilde{s}_i^*] + X_t \Phi + \varepsilon_t$$
⁽²⁾





- Our model considers the possibility that different dimensions may have heterogeneous effects on fundamentals by estimating w_i
- By decomposing signals into different dimensions, we are able to calibrate the signal precision.
- The regression is conceptually straightforward



Variable Construction

What are the signals?

- I use the textual information from corporate annual reports to measure managerial tones on different topics
- What are the fundamental measures?
 - For each topic identified, I construct a measure of it using accounting variables
- How to execute it?
 - For topic analysis, I use an unsupervised technique called LDA
 - Divide documents into sentences
 - Use LDA to categorize each sentence into a topic
 - Calculate the managerial tones by counting the positive and negative words



Variable Construction

What are the signals?

- I use the textual information from corporate annual reports to measure managerial tones on different topics
- What are the fundamental measures?
 - For each topic identified, I construct a measure of it using accounting variables

Item to execute it?

- For topic analysis, I use an unsupervised technique called LDA
- Divide documents into sentences
- Use LDA to categorize each sentence into a topic
- Calculate the managerial tones by counting the positive and negative words



Variable Construction

What are the signals?

- I use the textual information from corporate annual reports to measure managerial tones on different topics
- What are the fundamental measures?
 - For each topic identified, I construct a measure of it using accounting variables
- How to execute it?
 - For topic analysis, I use an unsupervised technique called LDA
 - Divide documents into sentences
 - Use LDA to categorize each sentence into a topic
 - Calculate the managerial tones by counting the positive and negative words



- I focus on SP500 index firms
- Orporate accounting information: Compustat
- Stock prices: CRSP
- Coporate annual reports: Loughran and McDonald Stage-One 10-X Parse files updated to 2018.
- Sentiment words: LM dictionary on positive vs negative words
- The final sample contains 12,028 documents from 976 unique firms
- 2,340 unique sentiment words and 112,961 neutral words



LDA Results

- Topic 1 (General): FINANCIAL COMPANY STATEMENTS REPORT FORM CONSOLIDATED
- Topic 2 (Compensation): COMPANY SHARES COMMON PLAN SHARE OPTIONS BASED NOTES COMPENSATION PLANS COURT DATE EMPLOYEES
- Topic 3 (Sales): **PRODUCTS** COMPANY **SERVICES BUSINESS PRODUCT CUSTOMERS** INCLUDING OPERATIONS **MARKET** SYSTEMS **OPERATING**
- Topic 4 (Energy): GAS COSTS COMPANY ENERGY NATURAL OPERATIONS OIL APPROXIMATELY PRODUCTION COST
- Topic 5 (Debts): COMPANY CREDIT FINANCIAL INTEREST RATE RISK MARKET DEBT TERM SECURITIES
- Topic 6 (Profits): INCOME TAX NET ASSETS COMPANY FINANCIAL RELATED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS EXPENSE

Measure Construction: Validation



Figure: Dynamics of Topic Sentiments

Note: Stock Compensation Expense is defined as STKCO/AT.



Measure Construction: Validation



Figure: Dynamics of Topic Sentiments

Note: Sales Growth is defined as SALE divided by lagged SALE. Projected Energy Costs is calculated in the following way. First, project the total operating cost, Compustat Item XOPR, on the global price of energy index using linear regressions and then scale it with book value of assets, COMPUSTAT item AT.

Measure Construction: Validation



Figure: Dynamics of Topic Sentiments

Note: Cost of Debt is defined as Item XINT/(Item DLTT + Item DLC). Return on Equity is defined as IB/((BE+LAG(BE)/2))

Wang (2020)

We use five dimensions: 5 signals and 5 fundamentals.

	Compensation	Sales	Energy	Debts	Profits
Compensation*	0.0716	0.00942	0.0163	0.0162	-0.00173
Sales*	0.0260	0.0750	-0.00111	0.0198	-0.0143
Energy*	0.0162	0.0114	0.0356	0.00655	0.00348
Debts*	-0.0146	0.00450	0.00834	0.0901	-0.00656
Profits*	0.00368	-0.00939	0.00855	-0.00941	0.0752



Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Param	Estimate	90% Confidence Interval
Compensation (w ₁)	-2.7989	[-17.914,12.211]
Sales (w ₂)	-0.2332	[-1.099,0.737]
Energy (w ₃)	0.1095	[0.017,0.217]
Debts (w ₄)	-0.0191	[-1.928,2.134]
Profits (w ₅)	1.0350	[0.282,2.091]
χ	0.0106	[0,0.021]
Size	-0.0629	[-0.118,-0.008]
Market-to-Book Ratio	-0.0577	[-0.169,0.046]
Leverage	0.2881	[-0.392,1.035]
General (<i>s</i> _i)	0.8908	[0.279,1.447]
Const	0.6748	[-0.599,1.803]
σ^2	17.2344	[16.493,18.066]

KELLEY SCHOOL OF BUSINESS

- Can this estimate generate some implications on real economy?
- ② Can sentiments and overconfidence generate real impact?
- Output to shape this paper into an influential one?
- Which direction to push?



Thank you very much for joining!

