Interest Rate Risk, Prepayment Risk and Banks' Securitization of Mortgages

Zhanbing Xiao



May 2021

Zhanbing Xiao

Mortgage Securitization 1 / 33

Motivation

Motivation



Figure: Securitization in the U.S. Mortgage Market

Zhanbing Xiao

Research Question

• Research Question:

What causes the large dispersion in cross-bank mortgage securitization in a given year?

Research Question

• Research Question:

What causes the large dispersion in cross-bank mortgage securitization in a given year?

• Interest rate risk and prepayment risk in mortgages.

Overview of Key Results

- In the conforming mortgage market, banks with longer-maturity liabilities securitize fewer mortgages.
- In the jumbo mortgage market, banks with shorter-maturity liabilities have a much lower approval rate.
- Banks deal with the prepayment risk induced by household refinancing in two ways:
 - *Ex ante*, more securitization;
 - *Ex post*, less likely to help households refinance their existing mortgages.

Interest Rate Risk in Mortgages

• Interest rate risk in mortgages - a change in market interest rates leads to an opposite change in the value of a mortgage.

Volatile market interest rates \rightarrow Volatile mortgage value;

Interest Rate Risk in Mortgages

• Interest rate risk in mortgages - a change in market interest rates leads to an opposite change in the value of a mortgage.

Volatile market interest rates \rightarrow Volatile mortgage value;

- 30-year fixed-rate mortgages (FRMs) dominate the U.S. mortgage market.
 - FRMs make up 91% of U.S. first-lien mortgages originated between 2009—2013, and 83% of the stock of loans as of December 2013 (Fuster and Vickery 2015).
- U.S. interest rates vary widely over time.

Markets for Mortgage Securitization

Agency v.s Non-agency Market (PLS)

- Agency Market: GSEs (Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac);
- Non-agency Market: Private institutions such as trusts and special purpose vehicles (SPVs);

Markets for Mortgage Securitization

Agency v.s Non-agency Market (PLS)

- Agency Market: GSEs (Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac);
- Non-agency Market: Private institutions such as trusts and special purpose vehicles (SPVs);

Conforming v.s Jumbo Mortgages

- The conforming loan limit (CLL), e.g., \$ 510,400 in 2020.
- Conforming Mortgages can be securitized through the agency market;
- Jumbo Mortgages can not be securitized through the agency market;

Hypotheses - Interest Rate Risk, Conforming Mortgages

- Conforming mortgages can be securitized easily.
- Retaining or securitizing a mortgage crucially depends on a bank's ability to take interest rate risk in the mortgage.
- This ability is determined by the maturity of a bank's liability.

Hypotheses - Interest Rate Risk, Conforming Mortgages

- Conforming mortgages can be securitized easily.
- Retaining or securitizing a mortgage crucially depends on a bank's ability to take interest rate risk in the mortgage.
- This ability is determined by the maturity of a bank's liability.
- Hypothesis 1: Banks with longer-maturity liabilities are more capable of taking the interest rate risk in mortgages and thus securitize fewer mortgages.
- Maturity Matching.

Hypotheses - Interest Rate Risk, Jumbo Mortgages

- Jumbo mortgages can not be securitized through the agency market.
- The agency market is much larger than the non-agency market.
- Jumbo mortgages are much more difficult to be securitized.



Source: Justiniano et al. 2017 Figure 1.2

Hypotheses - Interest Rate Risk, Jumbo Mortgages

- Jumbo mortgages are difficult to be securitized.
- Banks with short-maturity liabilities do not want to hold mortgages on balance sheets.
- Hypothesis 2: Banks with shorter-maturity liabilities originate fewer jumbo mortgages.

Data and Sample

- Bank Call Reports
- Summary of Deposits
- HMDA
- Fed Funds rates (FFR) from the website of the Federal Reserve Bank of St Louis, data on monetary shocks from Nakamura and Steinsson 2018, and distance data from NBER county-to-county database.

Over 7,000 banks in about 3,000 counties from 1994 to 2017.

Measuring the Maturity of a Bank's Liability

- Interest Expense Beta the sensitivity of a bank's interest expenses to changes in Fed funds rate (Drechsler, Savov, and Schnabl 2020).
- The smaller the beta is, the longer maturity a bank's liability has.
 - Managing a large network of deposit franchise gives banks deposit market power, which allows them to pay deposit rates that are low and insensitive to market interest rates.
 - Maintaining this power requires banks to pay large and interest-insensitive operating costs.
 - Total costs of deposits of low-beta banks are similar to fixed-rate and long-term debt.
 - Banks match the interest-rate sensitivities of their expenses and income one-for-one.

Estimating Interest Expense Beta

$$\Delta \operatorname{Int} \mathsf{Exp}_{i,t} = \alpha_{i,t} + \sum_{\tau=0}^{3} \beta_{i,t,\tau}^{\mathsf{Exp}} \Delta \mathsf{Fed} \operatorname{Funds} \mathsf{Rate}_{t-\tau} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$
(1)

• *i* represents bank and *t* represents quarter.

 $\Delta Int Exp_{i,t}$ is the change in bank *i*'s interest expenses to total assets from *t* to *t*+1. $\Delta Fed Funds Rate_t$ is the change in Fed funds rate from *t* to *t*+1.

• A rolling window of 40 quarters (10 years) and a minimum of 24-quarter (6-year) data is required.

Estimating Interest Expense Beta

$$\Delta \operatorname{Int} \mathsf{Exp}_{i,t} = \alpha_{i,t} + \Sigma^3_{\tau=0} \beta^{\mathsf{Exp}}_{i,t,\tau} \Delta \mathsf{Fed} \operatorname{Funds} \mathsf{Rate}_{t-\tau} + \varepsilon_{i,t} \qquad (1)$$

• *i* represents bank and *t* represents quarter.

 $\Delta Int Exp_{i,t}$ is the change in bank *i*'s interest expenses to total assets from *t* to *t*+1. $\Delta Fed Funds Rate_t$ is the change in Fed funds rate from *t* to *t*+1.

- A rolling window of 40 quarters (10 years) and a minimum of 24-quarter (6-year) data is required.
- $\beta_{i,t} = \sum_{\tau=0}^{3} \beta_{i,t,\tau}^{Exp}$.
- Aggregate it at the year level by calculating a simple average.

Securitization - Conforming Mortgages

• HMDA - bank-county level.

$$Securitization_{i,j,t} = \frac{Number of mortgages Sold_{i,j,t}}{Number of mortgages Originated_{i,j,t}}$$
(2)

i: bank, *j*: county, *t*: year.

Conforming Mortgages



Figure: Cross-sectional Patterns

Interest Expense Beta and Mortgage Securitization

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Mortgage Securitization					
Interest Expense Beta	0.061*** (0.010)	0.316*** (0.009)	0.312*** (0.006)	0.335*** (0.006)	0.325*** (0.006)	0.267*** (0.010)
Observations Bank Controls Bank-County Controls Mortgage Controls Year FE Borrower Home County FE Borrower Home County*Year FE Bank Headquarter State*Year FE Adjusted R-squared	988,436 No No No No No No 0.001	987,989 Yes No No No No No 0.114	923,925 Yes Yes No No No No 0.256	923,906 Yes Yes Yes Yes No No 0.312	918,420 Yes Yes No No Yes No 0.312	918,409 Yes Yes No No Yes Yes 0.430

 Banks with interest expense beta one standard deviation above the average securitize 10.18% more mortgages than those with interest beta one standard deviation below the average.

Identification

- The interest expense beta is endogenously determined.
- Exogenous monetary shocks surprises in changes in interest rates that are beyond the market's expectation.
- Larger interest rate risk in mortgages in periods with larger monetary shocks.
- Banks with short-maturity liabilities securitize even more mortgages a larger securitization gap.

Monetary Shocks and Mortgage Securitization

	(1) (2)		(3)	(4)	
	Mortgage Securitization				
	Fed Funds	Rate Shock	Policy Ne	ws Shock	
Interest Expense Beta	0.295*** (0.008)	0.230*** (0.011)	0.202*** (0.009)	0.221*** (0.012)	
Interest Expense Beta*Monetary Shock	0.057*** (0.009)	0.113*** (0.011)	0.205*** (0.008)	0.112*** (0.011)	
Monetary Shock	-0.053*** (0.004)		-0.111*** (0.004)		
Observations Bank Controls Bank-County Controls Mortgage Controls Borrower Home County*Year FE Bank Headquarter State*Year FE Adjusted R-squared	794,747 Yes Yes No No 0.273	790,390 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 0.436	794,747 Yes Yes No No 0.274	790,390 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 0.436	

Non-mortgage Long-term Assets

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
		Mortgage S	ecuritization	
Interest Expense Beta	0.261*** (0.007)	0.287*** (0.006)	0.278*** (0.006)	0.190*** (0.010)
Interest Expense Beta * High Security Holding	0.241***	0.244***	0.247***	0.338***
High Security Holding	(0.010) -0.105*** (0.005)	(0.009) -0.099*** (0.004)	(0.009) -0.101*** (0.004)	(0.010) -0.113*** (0.005)
Observations Bank Controls Bank-County Controls Mortgage Controls Mortgage Controls Year FE Borrower Home County FE Borrower Home County*Year FE Bank Headquarter State*Year FE Adiusted R-squared	982,150 Yes Yes Yes No No No No 0,267	982,118 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No No 0,321	976,751 Yes Yes Yes No No Yes No 0.321	976,749 Yes Yes Yes No No Yes Yes 0.431

Jumbo Mortgages

- Hypothesis 2: Banks with shorter-maturity liabilities originate fewer jumbo mortgages.
- Jumbo mortgages can not be securitized through the agency market the dominant market for mortgage securitization.

Jumbo Mortgage Approval Rate



Figure: Jumbo Mortgage Approval Rate

Increases in Conforming Loan Limit (CLL)

- The CLL increases every year \$ 203,150 in 1994 & \$ 424,100 in 2017.
- Up to 2007, CLL was uniform across counties except some high-cost areas (50% higher in Alaska, Hawaii, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands).

Increases in Conforming Loan Limit (CLL)

- The CLL increases every year \$ 203,150 in 1994 & \$ 424,100 in 2017.
- Up to 2007, CLL was uniform across counties except some high-cost areas (50% higher in Alaska, Hawaii, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands).
- Determined by changes in the national average of single-family housing prices.
- Exogenous shocks to a local mortgage market.
- Starting from 2008, local housing prices are incorporated in determining CLL.

A Difference-in-Differences Test



A Difference-in-Differences Test

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
		Chan	l Rate			
		Full S	HSEC	LSEC		
Treat	-0.025***	-0.023***	-0.023***	-0.009**	-0.000	-0.011
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.007)
High Interest Expense Beta * Treat	0.033***	0.032***	0.027***	0.016***	0.008	0.019***
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.007)
High Interest Expense Beta	-0.027***	-0.029***	-0.028***	-0.029***	-0.009***	-0.073***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.003)
Observations Bank Controls Bank-County Controls Mortgage Controls Borrower Home County FE Year FE Borrower Home County*Year FE	386,398 Yes Yes No No No	386,365 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes No	383,128 Yes Yes No No Yes	383,122 Yes Yes No No Yes	101,961 Yes Yes No No Yes	274,252 Yes Yes No No Yes
Bank Headquarter State*Year FE	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Adjusted R-squared	0.012	0.021	0.032	0.160	0.287	0.190

Banks with short-maturity liabilities increase their approval rate by about 70 bps.

- Household mortgage refinancing generates prepayment risk.
- Prepayment risk the outstanding amount of a mortgage is prematurely paid back.

- Household mortgage refinancing generates prepayment risk.
- Prepayment risk the outstanding amount of a mortgage is prematurely paid back.
- Two main impacts on banks:
 - Losses in interest income;
 - Disruption in maturity matching;

- Household mortgage refinancing generates prepayment risk.
- Prepayment risk the outstanding amount of a mortgage is prematurely paid back.
- Two main impacts on banks:
 - Losses in interest income;
 - Disruption in maturity matching;
- No prepayment penalties in the U.S. mortgage market.

• Matters more for banks with longer-maturity liabilities - more mortgages on balance sheets.

- Matters more for banks with longer-maturity liabilities more mortgages on balance sheets.
- Two ways to avoid the prepayment risk:
 - *Ex ante*, more securitization;
 - *Ex post*, rejecting household refinancing requests.

Hypotheses - Prepayment Risk

- Hypothesis 3: *Ex ante*, anticipating the prepayment risk, banks with longer-maturity liabilities securitize more mortgages, resulting in a smaller securitization gap between banks with long- and short-maturity liabilities.
- Hypothesis 4: *Ex post*, banks with longer-maturity liabilities are less likely to help households refinance their existing mortgages, i.e., fewer supplies of refinancing mortgages.

Hypotheses - Prepayment Risk

- Hypothesis 3: *Ex ante*, anticipating the prepayment risk, banks with longer-maturity liabilities securitize more mortgages, resulting in a smaller securitization gap between banks with long- and short-maturity liabilities.
- Hypothesis 4: *Ex post*, banks with longer-maturity liabilities are less likely to help households refinance their existing mortgages, i.e., fewer supplies of refinancing mortgages.
- Measuring prepayment risk the average growth rate of refinancing mortgages in a county over the past five years (e.g., Maturana and Nickerson 2019, McCartney and Shah 2019, Miller and Soo 2020).

Prepayment Risk and Mortgage Securitization

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
	Mortgage Securitization					
	Fι	ıll	HIR	LIR		
Interest Expense Beta	0.372*** (0.013)	0.261*** (0.014)	0.389*** (0.017)	0.165*** (0.018)		
Interest Expense Beta*Prepayment Risk	-0.089*** (0.013)	-0.020** (0.010)	-0.061*** (0.013)	0.018 (0.014)		
Prepayment Risk	0.038*** (0.006)					
Bank Controls Bank-County Controls Mortgage Controls Borrower Home County*Year FE Bank Headquarter State*Year FE	Yes Yes Yes No No	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		

Mortgage Refinancing Over Time

- Cash-out refinancing consume accumulated home equities;
- Rate refinancing -reduce monthly interest payments;



b Refinancing and equity extraction over time

Source: Amromin, Bhutta, and Keys 2020 Figure 4b

Supplies of Refinancing Mortgages

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Supply of Refinancing Mortgages					
		Full Sample			HIR	LIR
Interest Expense Beta	0.870***	0.328***	0.462***	0.157***	0.336***	0.283***
Interest Expense Beta*HMKT	()	(0.000)	0.256*** (0.023)	1.103*** (0.017)	()	(****_)
НМКТ			(0.040)	(0.030)		
Observations Bank Controls Bank-County Controls Mortgage Controls Borrower Home County*Year FE	594,472 Yes Yes Yes No	586,021 Yes Yes Yes Yes	594,472 Yes Yes Yes Yes	586,021 Yes Yes Yes Yes	258,498 Yes Yes Yes Yes	327,523 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
Bank Headquarter State*Year FE	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

• On average, one standard deviation increase in interest expense beta is associated with a 4.1% increase in banks' supplies of refinancing mortgages.

Conclusions

- Risks in FRMs: default risk, interest rate risk and prepayment risk.
- Banks with short-maturity liabilities securitize more conforming mortgages and originate fewer jumbo mortgages.
- Banks with long-maturity liabilities avoid the prepayment risk in two ways: 1) *ex ante* more securitization; 2) *ex post* fewer supplies of refinancing mortgages.