

The Economic Costs of Supply Chain Decoupling

ASSA conference



M-G. Attinasi, L. Boeckelmann, B. Meunier (ECB)

05/01/2024

Motivation and key findings

• Increasing role of **geopolitical considerations** in global trade relations

• Growing literature on the impact of a reversal of GVC integration

- We quantify a range of **fragmentation scenarios** using Baqaee and Farhi (2023)
 - \rightarrow Accounting for rigidities
 - → Impact beyond welfare (prices, trade, wages)

Baqaee-Farhi model



 41 countries / 30 sectors model accounting for global sectoral interlinkages

Accounts for **non-linearities** while other workhorse trade models rely on linear production functions

 Propagation both to downstream consumers (prices) and to upstream suppliers (revenues)

• Impact dependent on the **direct and indirect linkages** given by the input-output structure

Uncertain decoupling

Increase in iceberg trade costs (non-tariffs barriers)

 Shock on trade in intermediates but not in final products – reflecting recent friendshoring policies

 150 p.p. increase as a stylised exercise to shut down GVC – in line with literature (Bachmann et al, 2022; Goes and Bekker, 2022)



Heterogenous country blocs



Notes: Mechanical allocation based on UN voting. Africa, Middle East, Ukraine, New Zealand, Israel, and Moldova belong to the "Rest of the World" aggregate in ADB IO table and are allocated collectively

5

Accounting for rigidities

•



- Unitary elasticity (Cobb-Douglas) across factors
- Severe elasticity of Bachmann et al. (2022) across factors

Substitution elasticities

Trade effects

Real imports (World, percentage deviation from steady state)



Sources: Baqaee and Farhi (2023), FPS, ADB MRIO, and ECB staff calculations. Note: Non-linear impact simulated through 25 iterations of the log-linearized model.

Sourcing of intermediate inputs

(World, percentage points, market share)



Sources: Baqaee and Farhi (2023), FPS, ADB MRIO, and ECB staff calculations. Note: Non-linear impact simulated through 25 iterations of the log-linearized model. The chart refers to the *central* scenario (East-West decoupling generalized across sectors) under the flexible setup.

Prices and wages impact

Consumer prices (World, percentage deviation from steady state)



Sources: Baqaee and Farhi (2023), FPS, ADB MRIO, and ECB staff calculations. Note: Non-linear impact simulated through 25 iterations of the log-linearized model.

Wages (central scenario, flexible setup)

(percentage deviation from steady state, relative to medium-skilled)



Sector and country heterogeneities

Sectoral prices (central scenario, flexible setup)

(World, percentage deviation from steady state)



Sectoral PPI (% change from initial state)

Sources: Baqaee and Farhi (2023), FPS, ADB MRIO, and ECB staff calculations. Note: Non-linear impact simulated through 25 iterations of the log-linearized model.

Country consumer prices (central scenario)

(percentage deviation from steady state)



Flexible Range Rigid

Sources: Baqaee and Farhi (2023), FPS, ADB MRIO, and ECB staff calculations. Note: Non-linear impact simulated through 25 iterations of the log-linearized model.

Welfare effects

Real GNE (World, percentage deviation from steady state)



Sources: Baqaee and Farhi (2023), FPS, ADB MRIO, and ECB staff calculations. Notes: Non-linear impact simulated through 25 iterations of the log-linearized model. "GNE" = Gross National Expenditures.

Country real GNE (central scenario)

(percentage deviation from steady state)



Sources: Baqaee and Farhi (2023), FPS, ADB MRIO, and ECB staff calculations. Notes: Non-linear impact simulated through 25 iterations of the log-linearized model. "GNE" = Gross National Expenditures.

Conclusion

 Welfare losses in line with literature for the *flexible* setup but magnified when accounting for rigidities

 Lose-lose situation with all countries losing welfare across all scenarios – along with global trade losses

Fragmentation also having an upward effect on price levels

Summary of GNE, CPI, and trade effects

(percentage deviation from steady state)



THANK YOU

- ECB Bulletin: https://www.ecb.europa.eu/pub/econom/c-bulletin/focus/2023/html/ecb.ebbox202302_03~d4063f8791.en.html
- Working paper: https://www.ecb.europa.eu/pub/pdf/scpwps/ecb.wp2839~aaf35001a3.en.pdf
- Quantification of IRA: https://cepr.org/voxeu/columns/unfriendly-friends-trade-and-relocation-effects-us-inflation-reduction-act

This presentation should not be reported as representing the views of the t uropean Central Bank (ECB). The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the ECB.

Slow-balisation



Sources: ECB staff, WIOD, OECD TiVA, Trade Data Monitor Notes: Trade flows are considered related to GVC if they cross at least two borders before reaching the final consumer – as per the definition in Hummels et al. (2001)

Trade restrictions and friend-shoring (number and index)



Sources: NL Analytics, Global Trade Alert, and ECB staff calculations.

Notes: Frequency of the terms "reshoring", "nearshoring" and "friend-shoring" occurrence in firms' earnings calls. Index 2015 Q1=100. Trade restrictions refer to number of harmful interventions

Geopolitical lines

 Based on the Foreign Policy Similarity database (Hage, 2017) measuring similarity of voting at the UN between country pairs

 Countries mechanically allocated to blocs depending on pairwise similarity with US and China

 Approach closely related to the literature (Goes and Bekker, 2022; Campos et al., 2023)



Notes:	Benelux = Belgium, Netherlands, Luxembourg;
	Rest of Europe = Bulgaria, Denmark, Hungary, Norway;
	Rest of EA = Austria, Cyprus, Croatia, Finland, Greece, Malta, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia;
	Baltics = Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania;
	Rest of Asia = Kazakhstan, Mongolia, Fiji, Laos, Brunei, Bhutan, Kyrgyz Republic,
	Cambodia, Maldives, Nepal, Sri Lanka;
	West LAC (Latin America) = Colombia, Paraguay, Peru
	East LAC (Latin America) = Bolivia, Chile, Ecuador, Uruguay, Venezuela

Trade by category

Trade by category (central scenario)

(World, % change from initial state)



CPI decomposition



CPI decomposition (central, flexible)

Sources: Baqaee and Fahri (2023), Foreign Policy Similarity database, ECB staff calculations Notes: Non-linear impact simulated through 25 iterations of the log-linearized model

CPI decomposition (central, flexible)

(East, p.p., % change from initial state)



GNE decomposition



GNE decomposition (central, flexible)

Sources: Baqaee and Fahri (2023), Foreign Policy Similarity database, ECB staff calculations Notes: Non-linear impact simulated through 25 iterations of the log-linearized model

GNE decomposition (central, flexible)

(East, p.p., % change from initial state)



Robustness

Real GNE impact (% change from initial state, by magnitude of iceberg trade costs)



Real GNE losses under alternative blocs

(% change from initial state)



Sources: Baqaee and Fahri (2023), Foreign Policy Similarity database, ECB staff calculations Notes: Non-linear impact simulated through 25 iterations of the log-linearized model

Overview of Baqaee and Fahri (2023)

•	Multi-country	multi-sector	model	accounting	for	global	production	networks
---	---------------	--------------	-------	------------	-----	--------	------------	----------

Two types of trade barriers: iceberg trade costs (akin to transportation costs) and tariffs

General framework

- EXT extension of model:
 - Exploration of rigidities to model transition effects
 - Estimation of various variables (GNE, trade flows, prices, wages)
 - Calibration with up-to-date Input Output data covering 75 countries and 30 sectors

Design of tailored scenarios:

- Different trade barriers, types of goods (intermediate or final), and bilateral country-sector pairs
- Multiple extensions for rigidities (e.g. sticky wages)
- **Non-linear** production functions can be viewed as generalization of usual models such as Caliendo and Parro (2015) using Cobb-Douglas functions
- Steady-state model (no dynamics)
- No endogenous response of productivity
- No business cycle or financial amplification effects
- Uncertainty around calibration of elasticities of substitution

Comparative advantages

Limitations

Baqaee-Fahri – main structure



New Input-Output structure

Baqaee and Fahri (2023)

Source

Countries

Factors

WIOD2008

- 41
 - Low coverage of emerging
 - Uneven split between East
 (7) and West (34)

Updated

- ADB: "extended" WIOD with similar structure but more extensive coverage
- 2017 rather than 2021 due to lower country coverage and Covid distortions
- 73 in initial ADB aggregated into 41 due to computation issues
 - Grouping of smallest countries
 - Grouping within same bloc and with broadly similar exposure to other bloc
 - Keeping initial ADB East-West split (55% West bloc)

- 4 factors (capital, low-, medium-, high-skilled labour)
- No source of labour split in Baqaee and Fahri (2023)
- For WIOD countries, country-sector- specific split between capital and low- / medium- / high-skilled labour based on Baqaee and Fahri (2023)
- For non-WIOD countries, averages per sector split between capital and low- / medium- / high-skilled labour, across WIOD countries

Geopolitical blocs







Notes: Benelux = Belgium, Netherlands, Luxembourg; Rest of Europe = Bulgaria, Denmark, Hungary, Norway; Rest of EA = Austria, Cyprus, Croatia, Finland, Greece, Malta, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia; Baltics = Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania; Rest of Asia = Kazakhstan, Mongolia, Fiji, Laos, Brunei, Bhutan, Kyrgyz Republic, Cambodia, Maldives, Nepal, Sri Lanka; West LAC (Latin America) = Colombia, Paraguay, Peru East LAC (Latin America) = Bolivia, Chile, Ecuador, Uruguay, Venezuela

Summary of literature

•

٠

Modelling framework

Eaton-Kortum class of multi-country multi-sector models (Caliendo and Parro, 2015; Antras and Chor, 2018) sometimes with addons on labour mobility and knowledge diffusion

- Large macroeconomic models (OECD, WB)
- **Other** methods (HEM, gravity model, GTAP)

Iceberg trade costs and/or **tariffs** increased by various degrees (10% to infinity)

Variables shocked

- Iceberg trade costs shocks to **intermediate goods** with some extensions to all trade (incl. final goods) – tariffs in general applied to all imports (intermediate and final)
- Some adding national **subsidies** (1% GDP) and lowered import **elasticities** (by 50%)

Global in most cases

Geography

- Breakdown by **geopolitical blocs** for some (West vs. East; high-income vs. rest of the world; US vs. China; EU vs. rest of the world) with the presence of a neutral bloc in some papers
- Welfare losses at global level (1-5% in general)

•

Results

- Losses in all individual economies with **small open** economies more reliant on GVCs more affected (up to 40% in some cases)
- Renationalization of GVCs
 translating into lower
 resilience to shocks

Calibration of scenario

Closely related papers

	Modelling framework	Calibration of scenario	Results
Eppinger et al. (2021)	Antras and Chor (2018) with imperfect intersectoral mobility of labour	Iceberg trade costs for global trade in intermediate goods set to infinity (GVC shutdown)	 Welfare losses between 2.5% (US) and 38% (LU) Stronger effect when shocking intermediate than final goods
Felbermayr et al. (2022)	Caliendo and Parro (2015)	Doubling of non-tariff barriers on imports across all sectors between US allies and BRIC	 Almost zero bilateral trade Welfare losses -3.8% in BRIC and -1.2% in West bloc
Goes and Bekker (2022)	 Caliendo and Parro (2015) with endogenous knowledge diffusion 	 Increase in iceberg trade costs (+160 p.p.) or in tariffs (+32 p.p.) between West and East blocs 	 Global welfare losses of 5% Losses largely higher with knowledge diffusion
Campos et al. (2022)	Gravity trade model	 Increase in trade restrictions (MATR) between West and East, but with neutral bloc 	 Trade reduced by 20% to 50% Losses larger in East bloc