

Linearized GMM Estimator

Doosoo Kim

Department of Economics, Toronto Metropolitan University

0. Summary

- Nonlinear generalized method of moments (GMM) estimators often encounter computational issues when the moment conditions are **over-identifying and nonlinear**.
- I propose a novel GMM estimator based on **linearized** moment conditions approximated around an underlying **exactly-identified** (or over-identified) parameter estimate.
- For any given standard moment condition, I prove the existence of such an underlying parameter, and introduce a straightforward algorithm for its identification. The added dimensions in the underlying exactly-identified parameter can be estimated one element at a time, separately.
- This estimator exhibits improved computational properties while maintaining first-order asymptotic efficiency.
- The enhancement arises from (i) the better-behaved curvature of the GMM objective function (e.g. strict local convexity) for estimating the underlying parameter, and (ii) the availability of a closed-form solution for the final estimate.
- The method is applied to Ahn, Lee, and Schmidt's (2013) panel data model with multiple time-varying individual effects.

1. Introduction

- Suppose a standard moment condition

$$E[g_i(\beta_o)] = 0$$

where

$\{w_i\}_{i=1}^N$; i.i.d data,

$g_i(\beta) = g(w_i, \beta)$; q -dimensional moment function

β_o ; p -dimensional parameter

$q \geq p$; order condition

- Q: Is it possible to find $g_i(\gamma(\beta))$ such that

$$g_i(\gamma(\beta)) = g_i(\beta) \quad \forall \beta \in \Theta_\beta$$

with exactly-identified γ_o and full rank

$$E[\partial g(\gamma_o) / \partial \gamma']$$

- If it is, we can linearly expand the moment function around $\hat{\gamma}$

$$g_i(\gamma(\beta)) \approx g_i(\hat{\gamma}) + \frac{\partial g_i(\hat{\gamma})}{\partial \gamma'} (\gamma(\beta) - \hat{\gamma})$$

and, noting that $\sum_{i=1}^N g_i(\hat{\gamma}) = 0$, solve

$$\min_{\beta} (\gamma(\beta) - \hat{\gamma})' G_N(\hat{\gamma})' \hat{W} G_N(\hat{\gamma}) (\gamma(\beta) - \hat{\gamma})$$

where $G_N(\gamma) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum \frac{\partial g_i(\gamma)}{\partial \gamma'}$.

- The same problem is solved by the classic minimum distance estimator given $G_N(\hat{\gamma})' \hat{W} G_N(\hat{\gamma})$ (Kim, 2020).

- Given the existence of $g_i(\gamma(\beta))$, the approach based on the moment function approximated to a generic degree (e.g. second-order, third-order) is also interesting. The linearized one has useful implications on numerical issues in GMM estimation. Two benefits are discussed in the following.

2. A Cause of Computational Issues

- We focus on the curvature of the GMM objective function used in the **second step** of Hansen's two-step GMM estimator. (e.g. Ahn, Lee, and Schmidt, 2013)

- Two-step GMM

- Step1: a preliminary estimate $\tilde{\beta}$ is computed, for example with the identity weighting matrix.

- Step2: the efficient estimator $\hat{\beta}_{TS}$ minimizes

$$Q_N(\beta) \equiv g_N(\beta)' \hat{W} g_N(\beta)$$

where $g_N(\beta) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N g_i(\beta)$ and

$$\hat{W} \equiv \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N g_i(\tilde{\beta}) g_i(\tilde{\beta})' \right)^{-1}$$

- The second order derivative of $Q_N(\beta)$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta'} \left(\frac{\partial Q_N(\beta)}{\partial \beta} \right) = \underbrace{G_N(\beta)' \hat{W} G_N(\beta)}_{\text{pd if } \hat{W} \text{ pd and } G_N(\beta) \text{ full rank}} + \underbrace{\left(I_p \otimes g_N(\beta)' \hat{W} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta'} \text{vec}[G_N(\beta)]}_{\text{not necessarily pd. } = 0 \text{ if linear or exact-id}}$$

where $G_N(\beta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial g_i(\beta)}{\partial \beta'}$.

- **Benefit 1:** the curvature near its optimal point $\hat{\gamma}$ would be better-behaved. Also, the optimal value can be known.

- When the sample moment condition is solvable, we can use numerical algorithms for solving nonlinear equation systems (e.g. Broyden-Powell method).

- When it is not solvable, we would still have

$$\left\| \hat{W}^{1/2} g_N(\hat{\gamma}) \right\| \leq \left\| \hat{W}^{1/2} g_N(\tilde{\beta}) \right\| \text{ by construction of } \gamma \text{ so that the influence of the second term is reduced.}$$

- **Benefit 2:** A closed form expression for the estimator of β would be available given the γ estimate.

3. Existence of An Underlying Parameter: Reparametrization

Under standard assumptions, there exists reparametrization such that (a) $g_i(\gamma(\beta)) = g_i(\beta) \quad \forall \beta \in \Theta_\beta$ (b) $E[g(\gamma)] = 0$ if and only if $\gamma = \gamma_o$ and (c) $\text{rank}(E[\partial g(\gamma_o) / \partial \gamma']) \geq p$. If reparametrization can depend on the value of $E[\partial g_i(\beta_o) / \partial \beta]$, it is possible to make $E[\partial g(\gamma_o) / \partial \gamma']$ full rank.

4. Toy Example

For a scalar parameter β , consider $q > 1$ moment condition

$$E[g_{i1}(\beta)] = 0$$

⋮

$$E[g_{iq}(\beta)] = 0$$

The key idea is "reparametrization":

$$E[g_{i1}(\gamma_1)] = 0$$

⋮

$$E[g_{iq}(\gamma_q)] = 0$$

where

$$\gamma(\beta) = [\beta \cdots \beta]'$$

5. Methods

- Construction of $g(\gamma(\beta))$ (based on the proof idea)
 - Denote $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)'$ where γ_1 is p -dim and γ_2 is $(q-p)$ -dim.
 - First, consider trivial reparametrization: put $\beta = \gamma_1$ for all moments.
 - Second, we select $q-p$ moments and pick one excess parameter per moment to define γ_2 .
 - We assume $E\left[\frac{\partial g_i(\beta_o)}{\partial \beta}\right]$ has full rank. WLOG, Stochastic Gaussian Elimination will find an invertible A_0 such that

$$E\left[A_0 \frac{\partial g_i(\beta_o)}{\partial \beta}\right] = \begin{bmatrix} G_1 \\ 0_{(q-p) \times p} \end{bmatrix}$$

where G_1 is an invertible upper triangular matrix. For simplicity, let's assume G_1 is an identity matrix, I_p

- Define $A = RA_0$ where R adds the first row of G_1 to all and each of the lower zero matrix $0_{(q-p) \times p}$.
- Reparametrize the first element of β to be an element in γ_2 in the lower $(q-p)$ rows of $Ag_i(\beta)$. Then, the Jacobian with respect to γ will look like

$$\begin{bmatrix} G_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{(q-p)} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Efficient LGMM

- Given $\hat{g}_i(\gamma(\beta))$, estimate γ by solving

$$\min \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum \hat{g}_i(\gamma) \right)' \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum \hat{g}_i(\gamma) \right)$$

- Each of excess parameters γ_2 can be separately estimated given γ_1 estimate.

- closed form solution for $\hat{\beta}$.

- LGMM

$$\hat{\beta}_{LGMM} = \left[\hat{\Gamma}' \hat{G}_{N,\gamma}' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \hat{G}_{N,\gamma} \hat{\Gamma} \right]^{-1} \left[\hat{\Gamma}' \hat{G}_{N,\gamma}' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \hat{G}_{N,\gamma} \hat{\gamma} - \hat{\Gamma}' \hat{G}_{N,\gamma}' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \hat{g}_N(\hat{\gamma}) \right]$$

- LGMM-LITE (with $\gamma(\beta) = \beta$; the preliminary estimate is taken as $\hat{\gamma}$)

$$\hat{\beta}_{LGMM-LITE} = \tilde{\beta} - \left[\hat{G}'_{N,\tilde{\beta}} \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \hat{G}_{N,\tilde{\beta}} \right]^{-1} \hat{G}'_{N,\tilde{\beta}} \hat{\Omega}^{-1} g_N(\tilde{\beta})$$

- The resulting estimator maintains the first-order asymptotic efficiency. Asymptotic properties of the estimator and related discussions can be found in the draft.

6. Simulation

- Ahn, Lee, and Schmidt (2013). Two slope parameters.

	Draws	N	T	Bias1	RMSE1	Bias2	RMSE2	Failure
2step	1000	100	10	.245	.554	.242	.546	59
L-Lite	1000	100	10	.230	.538	.227	.530	0
LGMM	1000	100	10	.252	.512	.210	.566	0

7. Further Questions

- Higher-order approximations?
- Without estimating the Jacobian matrix?

Contact Information

- Email: doosoonet@gmail.com
- The paper and STATA package are available at <http://doosoo.kim>