Online Appendix for Constrained Retrospective Search

Can Urgun^{*} Leeat Yariv[†]

January 8, 2021

1 Constrained Search with I.I.D. Samples

The existence of an outside option governed by $X_0 = M_0 = 0$ implies that each sample is effectively sampled from a censored normal. For our characterization of the optimal policy, we need to derive the distribution of the first-order statistic of *T* censored normal distributions. The distribution function for a normal variable with mean 0 and standard deviation σ , censored at 0 is given by:

$$f(x;\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}} I_{x>1},$$

where $I_{x>1}$ is the indicator function for x > 1. From here we see that a censored normal with standard deviation σ has the same distribution as a censored normal with standard deviation 1 multiplied by σ , much like the uncensored normal.¹ Thus, the first-order statistic of *T* censored normals with standard deviation σ has the same distribution as $\sigma Y_{(T)}$ where $Y_{(T)}$ is the first-order statistic of *T* censored normals with standard deviation 1. Thus, the problem of the decision maker can be written as

$$\max_{T,\sigma}\sigma Y_{(T)} - Tc(\sigma),$$

which leads to the result in Proposition 2.

^{*}Department of Economics, Princeton University. Email: curgun@princeton.edu

[†]Department of Economics, Princeton University. Email: lyariv@princeton.edu

¹This scale-invariance property only holds when censoring is at 0.

2 The Impacts of Constraints

To our knowledge, bounds on the order statistics of censored normal variables are not readily available. We now derive an upper bound for $Y_{(T)}$. Let z > 0 be arbitrary and $\{X_i\}_i$ be a sequence of i.i.d. censored normal variables with standard deviation 1. By Jensen's inequality,

$$e^{(zE(Y_{(T)}))} \leq E(e^{zY_{(T)}}) = E(\max_{i\in T} e^{zX_i}).$$

Since $X_i \ge 0$ for all *i*, their maximum is lower than their sum. Thus,

$$E(\max_{i\in T} e^{zY_{(T)}}) \le \sum_{i=1}^{T} E(e^{zX_i}) = T\left(\frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{z^2}{2}}(1+erf(\frac{z}{2}))\right),$$

where the last equality follows from taking the expectation and *erf* denotes the Gaussian error function.

By definition, $erf(\frac{z}{2}) \leq 1$. Combining these inequalities we have:

$$e^{(zE(Y_{(T)}))} \leq T\left(\frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{z^2}{2}}(1+1)\right).$$

Taking log of both sides and dividing by z yields

$$E(Y_{(T)}) \leq \frac{\log T}{z} + \frac{z}{2}.$$

Minimizing the right hand side for a sharper upper bound implies $z = \sqrt{2logT}$, which generates our desired bound:

$$E(Y_{(T)}) \leq \sqrt{2logT}.$$

Since the expected payoff from any sample of *T* needs to account for their cost, this bound also offers an upper bound on the expected payoffs: for any number *T* of samples, $\bar{V}^{iid} < \sigma \sqrt{2logT}$.² Thus, as *T* gets large, \bar{V}^{iid} cannot grow faster than the $\sqrt{2logT}$, which leads to the asymptotic inefficiency in Corollary 4.

²This bound is a frequently-used bound for the first-order statistic of normals, which implies that, for low *T*, it is not a sharp bound for the statics of variables following a standard normal distribution. Indeed, the censored distribution always has a higher mean and first order stochastically dominates the uncensored distribution.