

# ONLINE APPENDIX

AEJPol-2018-042

## Reported MPC and Unobserved Heterogeneity<sup>#</sup>

Tullio Jappelli<sup>\*</sup> and Luigi Pistaferri<sup>\*\*</sup>

---

<sup>#</sup> Jappelli acknowledges financial support from the Italian Ministry of University and Research (PRIN Grant). We thank three anonymous referees, seminar participants at the European Central Bank, and Marteen van Rooij, Dimitris Christelis and Dimitris Georgarakos for useful comments.

<sup>\*</sup> University of Naples Federico II, CSEF and CEPR. Email: [tullio.jappelli@unina.it](mailto:tullio.jappelli@unina.it).

<sup>\*\*</sup> Stanford University, SIEPR, NBER and CEPR. E-mail: [pista@stanford.edu](mailto:pista@stanford.edu).

**Table A1. Heaping**

Dependent variable	1{MPC=0.5}	1{MPC=0}	1{MPC=1}	1{Mostly spend}
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Aged <=30	-0.092 (0.165)	-0.042 (0.217)	0.053 (0.196)	0.103 (0.163)
Aged 30-45	-0.054 (0.091)	0.057 (0.102)	0.086 (0.100)	-0.016 (0.077)
Aged 45-60	0.011 (0.059)	0.019 (0.064)	0.091 (0.072)	0.062 (0.050)
Years of education	-0.006 (0.019)	-0.025 (0.017)	-0.007 (0.018)	0.028 (0.011)**
Family size	0.004 (0.028)	-0.008 (0.034)	-0.016 (0.025)	-0.015 (0.027)
Dummy for 2016	-0.150 (0.286)		-2.404 (110.830)	
Unemployed	0.011 (0.024)	0.060 (0.029)**	0.022 (0.026)	-0.040 (0.022)*
Credit constrained	0.007 (0.099)	-0.058 (0.108)	-0.167 (0.113)	-0.089 (0.090)
Percentiles cash-on-hand	-0.343 (0.150)**	0.266 (0.132)**	0.016 (0.079)	-0.066 (0.081)
N	1,180	988	812	1,420

Note. All regressions are estimated by conditional logit. We report standard errors in parenthesis. \*, \*\*, \*\*\* indicate significance level at 10%, 5%, and 1%, respectively.

**Table A2. Data quality**

Experiment	Drop MPC=0.5	High quality data	Interaction
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Aged <=30	0.110 (0.163)	-0.007 (0.125)	0.035 (0.103)
Aged 30-45	-0.000 (0.080)	-0.044 (0.062)	0.001 (0.053)
Aged 45-60	0.012 (0.048)	0.019 (0.038)	0.024 (0.033)
Years of education	0.006 (0.018)	0.022 (0.015)	0.017 (0.012)
Family size	0.001 (0.026)	0.013 (0.021)	-0.001 (0.017)
Dummy for 2016	-0.059 (0.021)***	-0.025 (0.017)	-0.033 (0.014)**
Unemployed	-0.133 (0.078)*	-0.072 (0.069)	-0.065 (0.054)
Credit constrained	-0.005 (0.074)	0.027 (0.063)	0.001 (0.053)
Percentiles of cash-on-hand	-0.126 (0.071)*	-0.144 (0.057)**	-0.119 (0.057)**
City size >500,000		0.152 (0.325)	-0.060 (0.265)
Percentiles cash-on-hand × High quality data			-0.035 (0.039)
Constant	0.499 (0.184)***	0.292 (0.156)*	0.398 (0.129)***
$R^2$	0.02	0.01	0.01
$N$	1,820	2,736	3,236

Note. All regressions are estimated by fixed effects. In column (1) the sample excludes observations with MPC=0 in one or both waves. In column (2) the sample includes only high quality data. We report standard errors in parenthesis. \*, \*\*, \*\*\* indicate significance level at 10%, 5%, and 1%, respectively.

**Table A3. Other robustness checks**

	MPC	MPC	MPC	Growth of cash-on-hand
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Aged <=30	0.092 (0.134)	0.034 (0.103)	0.035 (0.103)	0.157 (0.459)
Aged 30-45	0.042 (0.066)	-0.003 (0.053)	0.001 (0.053)	-0.407 (0.111)***
Aged 45-60	0.053 (0.043)	0.025 (0.033)	0.026 (0.033)	-0.197 (0.071)***
Years of education	-0.012 (0.025)	0.003 (0.018)	0.004 (0.018)	0.023 (0.032)
Family size	0.161 (0.327)	-0.058 (0.265)	-0.051 (0.265)	-0.078 (0.117)
City size >500,000	-0.035 (0.019)*	-0.028 (0.014)**	-0.028 (0.014)**	
Dummy for 2016	-0.080 (0.085)	-0.068 (0.054)	-0.070 (0.054)	-0.617 (0.144)***
Unemployed	-0.043 (0.076)	-0.000 (0.053)	-0.000 (0.053)	-0.499 (0.214)**
Credit constrained	-0.100 (0.051)**		-0.115 (0.041)***	
Percentiles of financial assets	0.219 (0.170)	-0.078 (0.068)	-0.070 (0.074)	
Percentiles of disposable income		-0.108 (0.040)***		
Percentiles of financial assets, net of debt			-0.007 (0.054)	
Percentiles of consumption				-0.025 (0.080)
MPC in 2010				0.154 (0.059)***
Male				0.175 (0.076)**
Married				0.059 (0.007)***
Resident in the South				-0.428 (0.063)***
Log cash-on-hand in 2010				-0.489 (0.022)***
Constant	0.415 (0.108)***	0.573 (0.056)***	0.572 (0.056)***	0.619 (0.101)***
$R^2$	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.25
$N$	2,202	3,236	3,236	1,612

Note. In columns (1)-(3) the dependent variable is the MPC. In column (4) the dependent variable is the growth of cash-on-hand in 2010-16. In column (1) the sample excludes households who experience an annual income growth of more than 5 percent in absolute value. We report standard errors in parenthesis. \*, \*\*, \*\*\* indicate significance level at 10%, 5%, and 1%, respectively.